X

FORM 10-Q

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

\boxtimes	Quarterly Report Pursuant	To Section 13 or 15(d) of	of the Securities Exchange	Act of 1934		
		For the quarte	erly period ended MARCH	31, 2008		
OR						
	Transition Report Pursuan		of the Securities Exchange <i>i</i> sition period from	Act of 1934 to		
Commiss File Num		nt as specified in its charter ress and telephone number			State of Incorporation	I.R.S. Employ ID. Number
1-14514	Consolidated Edisor 4 Irving Place, New Y (212) 460-4600	1, Inc. York, New York 10003			New York	13-396510
1-1217		Company of New York, York, New York 10003	Inc.		New York	13-500934
	y check mark whether the registring 12 months (or for such short dodys.	` '	1	` '	U	U
Co	on Edison				Yes ⊠ N	No □
Co	on Edison of New York				Yes ⊠ N	No □
definitions	y check mark whether the registr of "large accelerated filer", "ac	U	-	*	reporting comp	oany. See the
C	on Edison Large accelerated filer ⊠	Accelerated filer \Box	Non-accelerated filer \Box	Smaller reporting company	у 🗆	
Co	on Edison of New York Large accelerated filer □	Accelerated filer □	Non-accelerated filer ⊠	Smaller reporting compan	у 🗆	
Indicate by	y check mark whether the registi	rant is a shell company (as	defined in Rule 12b-2 of the A	act).		
Co	on Edison				Yes □ N	Vo ⊠
Co	on Edison of New York				Yes □ N	Vo ⊠

As of April 30, 2008, Con Edison had outstanding 272,635,461 Common Shares (\$.10 par value). All of the outstanding common equity of Con Edison of New York is held by Con Edison.

Filing Format

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q is a combined report being filed separately by two different registrants: Consolidated Edison, Inc. (Con Edison) and Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (Con Edison of New York). Con Edison of New York is a subsidiary of Con Edison and, as such, the information in this report about Con Edison of New York also applies to Con Edison. As used in this report, the term the "Companies" refers to Con Edison and Con Edison of New York. However, Con Edison of New York makes no representation as to the information contained in this report relating to Con Edison or the subsidiaries of Con Edison other than itself.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Glossary of Terms	PAGE 4
PART I—Financial Information	
ITEM 1 Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
Con Edison `	
Consolidated Balance Sheet	6
Consolidated Income Statement	8
Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income	9
Consolidated Statement of Common Shareholders' Equity	10
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows	11
Con Edison of New York	
Consolidated Balance Sheet	12
Consolidated Income Statement	14
Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income	15
Consolidated Statement of Common Shareholder's Equity	16
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows	17
Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited)	18
ITEM 2 <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	
	46
ITEM 3 Ouantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	69
ITEM 4 Controls and Procedures	69
ITEM 4T Controls and Procedures	69
<u> </u>	
PART II—Other Information	
ITEM 1 <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	70
ITEM 1A Risk Factors	70
ITEM 6 <u>Exhibits</u>	71
<u>Signatures</u>	72

3

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

The following is a glossary of frequently used abbreviations or acronyms that are found in the Companies' SEC reports:

Con Edison Companies

Consolidated Edison, Inc. Con Edison

Con Edison Communications Con Edison Communications, LLC Con Edison Development Consolidated Edison Development, Inc. Con Edison Energy Consolidated Edison Energy, Inc.

Con Edison of New York Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

Con Edison Solutions Consolidated Edison Solutions, Inc. Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. O&R Pike County Light & Power Company Pike **RECO**

Rockland Electric Company

The Companies Con Edison and Con Edison of New York The Utilities Con Edison of New York and O&R

Regulatory and State Agencies

Administrative Law Judges ALJs DEC

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation

Environmental Protection Agency Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Internal Revenue Service ISO New England

New Jersey Board of Public Utilities

New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection

New York Attorney General

New York Independent System Operator

New York Power Authority

New York State Energy Research and Development Authority

New York State Reliability Council

PJM Interconnection

New York State Public Service Commission Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Securities and Exchange Commission

Other

EPA

FERC IRS

ISO-NE

NJBPU

NJDEP NYAG

NYISO

NYPA

NYSRC

PJM

PSC

SEC

PPUC

NYSERDA

Accumulated Benefit Obligation ABO Accounting Principles Board APB

AFDC Allowance for funds used during construction

Carbon dioxide

 CO_2 COSO Committee of Sponsoring Organizations Treadway Commission

Derivatives Implementation Group

District Court The United States District Court for the Southern District of New York

Dekatherms

EITF Emerging Issues Task Force

DIG

dths

Other

FIN

EMF Electric and magnetic fields
ERRP East River Repowering Project
FASB Financial Accounting Standards Board

FASB Interpretation No.

Fitch Fitch Ratings
Form 10-K The Companies' combined Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31,

2007

FSP FASB Staff Position
GHG Greenhouse gases
kV Kilovolts
kWh Kilowatt-hour

LILO Lease In/Lease Out
LTIP Long Term Incentive Plan

MD&A Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

mdths Thousand dekatherms
MGP Sites Manufactured gas plant sites
mmlbs Million pounds

Moody's Moody's Investors Service MVA Megavolt amperes

MW Megawatts or thousand kilowatts

MWH Megawatt hour

Net T&D Revenues Revenue requirement impact resulting from the reconciliation pursuant to Con Edison of

New York's electric rate agreement of the differences between the actual amount of transmission and distribution utility plant, net of depreciation, to the amount reflected in

electric rates

NUGs Non-utility generators
OCI Other Comprehensive Income
PCBs Polychlorinated biphenyls
PPA Power purchase agreement
PRP Potentially responsible party
S&P Standard & Poor's Rating Services

SFAS Statement of Financial Accounting Standards

SO₂ Sulfur dioxide

SSCM Simplified service cost method

Superfund Federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of

1980 and similar state statutes

VaR Value-at-Risk

VIE Variable interest entity

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

(UNAUDITED)

	Marc	h 31, 2008	Decem	ber 31, 2007
		(Millio	ons of Dollars)	
ASSETS				
UTILITY PLANT, AT ORIGINAL COST				
Electric	\$	16,243	\$	15,979
Gas		3,452		3,403
Steam		1,769		1,755
General		1,742		1,732
TOTAL		23,206		22,869
Less: Accumulated depreciation		4,857		4,784
Net		18,349		18,085
Construction work in progress		1,136		1,028
NET UTILITY PLANT		19,485		19,113
Non-utility plant				
Non-utility property, less accumulated depreciation of \$38 and \$36 in 2008 and 2007,				
respectively		20		18
Non-utility property held for sale		778		778
Construction work in progress		2		5
NET PLANT		20,285		19,914
CURRENT ASSETS		*		
Cash and temporary cash investments		144		210
Restricted cash		1		1
Accounts receivable - customers, less allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$49 and \$47				
in 2008 and 2007, respectively		980		970
Accrued unbilled revenue		127		149
Other receivables, less allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$6 in 2008 and 2007		285		288
Fuel oil, at average cost		53		44
Gas in storage, at average cost		122		215
Materials and supplies, at average cost		143		146
Prepayments		342		119
Fair value of derivative assets		242		98
Recoverable energy costs		168		213
Deferred derivative losses		1		45
Current assets held for sale		48		40
Other current assets		13		12
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS		2,669		2,550
INVESTMENTS		376		378
DEFERRED CHARGES, REGULATORY ASSETS AND NONCURRENT ASSETS				
Goodwill		408		408
Intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$1 in 2008 and 2007		2		2
Regulatory assets		4,379		4,511
Noncurrent assets held for sale		108		88
Other deferred charges and noncurrent assets		438		411
TOTAL DEFERRED CHARGES, REGULATORY ASSETS AND NONCURRENT ASSETS		5,335		5,420
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	28,665	\$	28,262

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

(UNAUDITED)

	Marc	h 31, 2008	Decem	ber 31, 2007
		(Million	s of Dollars)	
CAPITALIZATION AND LIABILITIES				
CAPITALIZATION				
Common shareholders' equity (See Statement of Common Shareholders' Equity)	\$	9,264	\$	9,076
Preferred stock of subsidiary		213		213
Long-term debt		7,886		7,611
TOTAL CAPITALIZATION		17,363		16,900
MINORITY INTERESTS		44		43
NONCURRENT LIABILITIES				
Obligations under capital leases		20		22
Provision for injuries and damages		164		161
Pensions and retiree benefits		1,054		938
Superfund and other environmental costs		312		327
Uncertain income taxes		150		155
Asset retirement obligations		113		110
Fair value of derivative liabilities		38		15
Noncurrent liabilities held for sale		61		61
Other noncurrent liabilities		88		95
TOTAL NONCURRENT LIABILITIES		2,000		1,884
CURRENT LIABILITIES				
Long-term debt due within one year		307		809
Notes payable		1,150		840
Accounts payable		1,111		1,187
Customer deposits		255		249
Accrued taxes		36		26
Accrued interest		134		149
Accrued wages		81		82
Fair value of derivative liabilities		80		76
Deferred derivative gains		138		10
Deferred income taxes - recoverable energy costs		71		86
Current liabilities held for sale		35		28
Other current liabilities		346		309
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES		3,744		3,851
DEFERRED CREDITS AND REGULATORY LIABILITIES				
Deferred income taxes and investment tax credits		4,707		4,465
Regulatory liabilities		786		1,097
Other deferred credits		21		22
TOTAL DEFERRED CREDITS AND REGULATORY LIABILITIES		5,514		5,584
TOTAL CAPITALIZATION AND LIABILITIES	\$	28,665	\$	28,262

CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENT (UNAUDITED)

For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2008 2007 (Millions of Dollars/ Except Share Data) OPERATING REVENUES (NOTE A) 1.787 Electric 1,873 \$ 846 Gas 849 Steam 285 295 Non-utility 573 426 TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES 3,577 3,357 **OPERATING EXPENSES** Purchased power 1,291 1,118 Fuel 201 219 Gas purchased for resale 497 514 Other operations and maintenance 537 490 Depreciation and amortization 165 158 349 Taxes, other than income taxes 328 Income taxes 147 154 TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES 2,981 3,187 **OPERATING INCOME** 390 376 OTHER INCOME (DEDUCTIONS) Investment and other income 58 13 Allowance for equity funds used during construction 2 2 Preferred stock dividend requirements of subsidiary (3) (3) Other deductions (5)(5) Income taxes (16)6 TOTAL OTHER INCOME (DEDUCTIONS) 13 36 INTEREST EXPENSE 118 113 Interest on long-term debt 16 Other interest 15 Allowance for borrowed funds used during construction (3) (2) NET INTEREST EXPENSE 126 131 300 258 INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS INCOME/(LOSS) FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS (NET OF INCOME TAX EXPENSE/(BENEFIT)) 3 (2) NET INCOME \$ 303 \$ 256 EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE - BASIC Continuing operations \$ 1.10 \$ 1.00 Discontinued operations 0.01 (0.01)\$ 1.11 \$ 0.99 Net income EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE - DILUTED \$ 1.10 1.00 Continuing operations \$ 0.01 (0.01)Discontinued operations 0.99 Net income \$ 1.11 \$ DIVIDENDS DECLARED PER SHARE OF COMMON STOCK \$ 0.585 \$ 0.58 AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES OUTSTANDING - BASIC (IN MILLIONS) 272.2 258.0 AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES OUTSTANDING - DILUTED (IN MILLIONS) 273.0 259.1

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (UNAUDITED)

		For the 1n	ree <i>Monti</i>	าร
		Ended M	larch 31,	
	2	2008	2	007
		(Millions	of Dollars	<u> </u>
NET INCOME	\$	303	\$	256
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME/(LOSS), NET OF TAXES				
Pension plan liability adjustments, net of \$1 taxes in 2007		_		1
Unrealized gains/(losses) on derivatives qualified as cash flow hedges, net of \$(1) and \$14 taxes in 2008 and				
2007, respectively		(1)		23
Less: Reclassification adjustment for unrealized losses included in regulatory assets, net of \$(5) taxes in 2008		(8)		_
Less: Reclassification adjustment for losses included in net income, net of \$(9) taxes in 2007		_		(12)
TOTAL OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME/(LOSS), NET OF TAXES		7		36
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	\$	310	\$	292

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMMON SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (UNAUDITED)

-	Common	Stock		ditional Paid-	Retained —	Treasury	Stock	apital tock	(ımulated Other orehensive	
	Shares	An	ount	Capital	Earnings	Shares	Amount	pense		ne/(Loss)	Total
-				•	(Millions o	of Dollars/Except	Share Data)	•		•	
BALANCE AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2006	257,456,303	\$	28	\$ 3,314	\$ 5,804	23,210,700	\$(1,001)	\$ (58)	\$	(83)	\$8,004
Net income					256						256
Common stock dividends					(150)						(150)
Issuance of common shares—dividend reinvestment and employee stock plans	1,327,669			61							61
Other comprehensive Income										36	36
BALANCE AS OF MARCH 31, 2007	258,783,972	\$	28	\$ 3,375	\$ 5,910	23,210,700	\$(1,001)	\$ (58)	\$	(47)	\$8,207
BALANCE AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2007	272,024,874	\$	29	\$ 4,038	\$ 6,113	23,210,700	\$(1,001)	\$ (60)	\$	(43)	\$9,076
Net income					303						303
Common stock dividends					(160)						(160)
Issuance of common shares—dividend reinvestment and employee stock plans	476,809			21							21
Other comprehensive Income										7	7
Adjustment for adoption of FASB Statement No. 157					17						17
BALANCE AS OF MARCH 31, 2008	272,501,683	\$	29	\$ 4,059	\$ 6,273	23,210,700	\$(1,001)	\$ (60)	\$	(36)	\$9,264

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

		hs		
		2008		2007
		(Millions	of Dollar	s)
OPERATING ACTIVITIES				
Net Income	\$	303	\$	256
PRINCIPAL NON-CASH CHARGES/(CREDITS) TO INCOME				
Depreciation and amortization		165		164
Deferred income taxes		174		114
Rate case amortization and accruals		(68)		(76)
Net transmission and distribution reconciliation		(52)		(45)
Common equity component of allowance for funds used during construction		(2)		(2)
Prepaid pension costs (net of capitalized amounts)		_		(16)
Other non-cash items (net)		(1)		5
CHANGES IN ASSETS AND LIABILITIES				
Accounts receivable - customers, less allowance for uncollectibles		(10)		(136)
Materials and supplies, including fuel oil and gas in storage		87		165
Other receivables and other current assets		24		161
Prepayments		(223)		(174)
Recoverable energy costs		110		69
Accounts payable		(76)		(112)
Pensions and retiree benefits		12		9
Accrued taxes		10		54
Accrued interest		(8)		10
Deferred charges, noncurrent assets and other regulatory assets		(37)		(51)
Deferred credits and other regulatory liabilities		150		44
Other assets		(19)		_
Other liabilities		21		39 478
INVESTING ACTIVITIES Utility construction expenditures (excluding capitalized support costs of \$- and \$(16) in 2008 and 2007,				
respectively)		(504)		(443)
Cost of removal less salvage		(43)		(38)
Non-utility construction expenditures				(1)
Common equity component of allowance for funds used during construction		2		2
Decrease/(increase) in restricted cash		(4)		(8)
Proceeds from sale of properties				30
Purchase of ownership interest in Newington SCS		(20)		
NET CASH FLOWS USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES		(569)		(458)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Net proceeds from/(payments of) short-term debt		265		149
Retirement of long-term debt		(180)		(20)
Issuance of common stock		12		54
Common stock dividends		(149)		(140)
NET CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		(52)		43
CASH AND TEMPORARY CASH INVESTMENTS:				
NET CHANGE FOR THE PERIOD		(61)		63
BALANCE AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD		219		94
BALANCE AT END OF PERIOD	\$	158	\$	157
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION				
Cash paid during the period for:				
Interest	\$	134	\$	119
Income taxes		_	\$	(44)

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

(UNAUDITED)

	Marc	ch 31, 2008	Decem	ber 31, 2007
		(Million	s of Dollars)	
ASSETS				
UTILITY PLANT, AT ORIGINAL COST				
Electric	\$	15,282	\$	15,027
Gas		3,044		2,999
Steam		1,769		1,755
General		1,605		1,599
Total		21,700		21,380
Less: Accumulated depreciation		4,427		4,360
Net		17,273		17,020
Construction work in progress		1,087		973
NET UTILITY PLANT		18,360		17,993
NON-UTILITY PROPERTY		·		
Non-utility property, less accumulated depreciation of \$18 in 2008 and 2007		12		12
NET PLANT		18,372		18,005
CURRENT ASSETS				
Cash and temporary cash investments		40		121
Accounts receivable - customers, less allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$46 and \$43				
in 2008 and 2007, respectively		831		832
Other receivables, less allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$4 and \$3 in 2008 and 2007,				
respectively		184		159
Accounts receivable from affiliated companies		98		96
Fuel oil, at average cost		53		44
Gas in storage, at average cost		102		170
Materials and supplies, at average cost		135		138
Prepayments		280		81
Fair value of derivative assets		104		66
Recoverable energy costs		163		190
Deferred derivative losses		_		44
Other current assets		4		5
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS		1,994		1,946
INVESTMENTS		109		111
DEFERRED CHARGES, REGULATORY ASSETS AND NONCURRENT ASSETS				
Regulatory assets		3,974		4,103
Other deferred charges and noncurrent assets		343		339
TOTAL DEFERRED CHARGES, REGULATORY ASSETS AND NONCURRENT ASSETS		4,317		4,442
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	24,792	\$	24,504

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

(UNAUDITED)

	Marc	h 31, 2008		ber 31, 2007
		(Million	s of Dollars)	
CAPITALIZATION AND LIABILITIES				
CAPITALIZATION				
Common shareholder's equity (See Statement of Common Shareholder's Equity)	\$	8,189	\$	8,086
Preferred stock		213		213
Long-term debt		7,171		7,172
TOTAL CAPITALIZATION		15,573		15,471
NONCURRENT LIABILITIES				
Obligations under capital leases		20		22
Provision for injuries and damages		157		154
Pensions and retiree benefits		747		638
Superfund and other environmental costs		256		271
Uncertain income taxes		137		142
Asset retirement obligations		113		110
Fair value of derivative liabilities		4		4
Other noncurrent liabilities		73		77
TOTAL NONCURRENT LIABILITIES		1,507		1,418
CURRENT LIABILITIES				
Long-term debt due within one year		100		280
Notes payable		895		555
Accounts payable		843		899
Accounts payable to affiliated companies		26		19
Customer deposits		240		234
Accrued taxes		47		30
Accrued interest		117		134
Accrued wages		74		74
Fair value of derivative liabilities		1		20
Deferred derivative gains		102		5
Deferred income taxes - recoverable energy costs		61		77
Other current liabilities		314		276
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES		2,820		2,603
DEFERRED CREDITS AND REGULATORY LIABILITIES				
Deferred income taxes and investment tax credits		4,212		4,018
Regulatory liabilities		662		976
Other deferred credits		18		18
TOTAL DEFERRED CREDITS AND REGULATORY LIABILITIES		4,892		5,012
TOTAL CAPITALIZATION AND LIABILITIES	\$	24,792	\$	24,504

CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENT (UNAUDITED)

For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2008 (Millions of Dollars) OPERATING REVENUES 1,643 Electric \$ 1,715 \$ Gas 741 735 285 295 Steam TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES 2,741 2,673 OPERATING EXPENSES Purchased power 721 656 Fuel 198 213 Gas purchased for resale 428 433 Other operations and maintenance 463 432 Depreciation and amortization 154 145 Taxes, other than income taxes 332 312 Income taxes 112 136 TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES 2,408 2,327 OPERATING INCOME 333 346 OTHER INCOME (DEDUCTIONS) Investment and other income 4 9 Allowance for equity funds used during construction 2 2 (4) Other deductions (3) Income taxes 1 TOTAL OTHER INCOME (DEDUCTIONS) 4 9 INTEREST EXPENSE 105 104 Interest on long-term debt Other interest 13 14 Allowance for borrowed funds used during construction (3) (2) NET INTEREST EXPENSE 115 116 222 NET INCOME 239 PREFERRED STOCK DIVIDEND REQUIREMENTS 3 3 NET INCOME FOR COMMON STOCK 219 \$ \$ 236

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (UNAUDITED)

	For the	Three Mont	hs
	Ende		
	 2008	2	007
NET INCOME	 (Million	ns of Dollar	s)
NET INCOME	\$ 222	\$	239
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME/(LOSS), NET OF TAXES	_		_
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	\$ 222	\$	239

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMMON SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY (UNAUDITED)

	Common	Stoc	k	Ad	ditional		Rer	ourchased	C	apital		nulated ther	
	Shares	An	nount		Paid- Capital	Retained Earnings	Co	n Edison Stock	S	tock pense	Compr	ehensive e/(Loss)	Total
					(Millio	ns of Dolla	ırs/E	xcept Sho	ıre 1	Data)			
BALANCE AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2006	235,488,094	\$	589	\$	2,252	\$ 5,320	\$	(962)	\$	(58)	\$	(9)	\$7,132
Net income						239							239
Common stock dividend to parent Cumulative preferred dividends						(131)							(131) (3)
BALANCE AS OF MARCH 31, 2007	235,488,094	\$	589	\$	2,252	\$ 5,425	\$	(962)	\$	(58)	\$	(9)	\$7,237
BALANCE AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2007	235,488,094	\$	589	\$	2,912	\$ 5,616	\$	(962)	\$	(60)	\$	(9)	\$8,086
Net income						222							222
Common stock dividend to parent						(139)							(139)
Capital contribution by parent					23								23
Cumulative preferred dividends						(3)							(3)
BALANCE AS OF MARCH 31, 2008	235,488,094	\$	589	\$	2,935	\$ 5,696	\$	(962)	\$	(60)	\$	(9)	\$8,189

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

For the Three Months Ended March 31,

		Ended 1	March 31,	
		2008	2	2007
		(Millions	s of Dollar	rs)
OPERATING ACTIVITIES				
Net income	\$	222	\$	239
PRINCIPAL NON-CASH CHARGES/(CREDITS) TO INCOME				
Depreciation and amortization		154		145
Deferred income taxes		187		115
Rate case amortization and accruals		(68)		(76)
Net transmission and distribution reconciliation		(52)		(45)
Common equity component of allowance for funds used during construction		(2)		(2)
Prepaid pension costs (net of capitalized amounts)		-		(16)
Other non-cash items (net)		4		1
CHANGES IN ASSETS AND LIABILITIES				
Accounts receivable - customers, less allowance for uncollectibles		1		(101)
Materials and supplies, including fuel oil and gas in storage		62		109
Other receivables and other current assets		(81)		52
Prepayments		(199)		(196)
Recoverable energy costs		98		74
Accounts payable		(49)		(71)
Pensions and retiree benefits		1		(7)
Accrued taxes		17		45
Accrued interest		(17)		_
Deferred charges, noncurrent assets and other regulatory assets		(19)		(70)
Deferred credits and other regulatory liabilities		73		46
Other assets		_		(1)
Other liabilities		25		61
NET CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		357		302
INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Utility construction expenditures (excluding capitalized support costs of \$- and \$(16) in 2008 and 2007,				
respectively)		(493)		(427)
Cost of removal less salvage		(43)		(37)
Common equity component of allowance for funds used during construction		2		2
Proceeds from loan to affiliate		55		_
Proceeds from sale of properties		_		30
NET CASH FLOWS USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES		(479)		(432)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Net proceeds from/(payments of) short-term debt		340		261
Retirement of long-term debt		(180)		_
Capital contribution by parent		23		_
Dividend to parent		(139)		(131)
Preferred stock dividends		(3)		(3)
NET CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		41		127
CASH AND TEMPORARY CASH INVESTMENTS:				
NET CHANGE FOR THE PERIOD		(81)		(3)
BALANCE AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD		121		47
BALANCE AT END OF PERIOD	\$	40	\$	44
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION		•	-	
Cash paid during the period for:				
Interest	\$	125	\$	103
Income taxes	\$	1	Ψ	(20)
Income maes	Ψ	1		(20)

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

General

These combined notes accompany and form an integral part of the separate consolidated financial statements of each of the two separate registrants: Consolidated Edison, Inc. and its subsidiaries (Con Edison) and Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. and its subsidiaries (Con Edison of New York). Con Edison of New York is a subsidiary of Con Edison and as such its financial condition and results of operations and cash flows, which are presented separately in the Con Edison of New York consolidated financial statements, are also consolidated, along with those of Con Edison's other utility subsidiary, Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. (O&R), and Con Edison's competitive energy businesses (discussed below) in Con Edison's consolidated financial statements. The term "Utilities" is used in these notes to refer to Con Edison of New York and O&R.

As used in these notes, the term "Companies" refers to Con Edison and Con Edison of New York and, except as otherwise noted, the information in these combined notes relates to each of the Companies. However, Con Edison of New York makes no representation as to information relating to Con Edison or the subsidiaries of Con Edison other than itself.

The separate interim consolidated financial statements of each of the Companies are unaudited but, in the opinion of their respective managements, reflect all adjustments (which include only normally recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the interim periods presented. The Companies' separate interim consolidated financial statements should be read together with their separate audited financial statements (including the combined notes thereto) included in Item 8 of their combined Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 (the Form 10-K). Information in the notes to the consolidated financial statements in the Form 10-K referred to in these notes is incorporated by reference herein. The use of terms such as "see" or "refer to" shall be deemed to incorporate by reference into these Notes the information to which reference is made. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation. Results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results for the entire fiscal year.

Con Edison has two regulated utility subsidiaries: Con Edison of New York and O&R. Con Edison of New York provides electric service and gas service in New York City and Westchester County. The company also provides steam service in parts of Manhattan. O&R, along with its regulated utility subsidiaries, provides electric service in southeastern New York and adjacent areas of northern New Jersey and eastern Pennsylvania and gas service in southeastern New York and adjacent areas of eastern Pennsylvania. Con Edison has the following competitive energy businesses: Consolidated Edison Solutions, Inc. (Con Edison Solutions), a retail energy services company that sells electricity and also offers energy-related services; Consolidated Edison Energy, Inc. (Con Edison Energy), a wholesale

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) — CONTINUED

energy supply company; and Consolidated Edison Development, Inc. (Con Edison Development), a company that owns, leases or operates generating plants and participates in other infrastructure projects. In December 2007, Con Edison Development and its subsidiary, CED/SCS Newington, LLC, agreed to sell their ownership interests in power generating projects with an aggregate capacity of approximately 1,706 megawatts. See Note N.

Note A - Earnings Per Common Share

Reference is made to "Earnings Per Common Share" in Note A to the financial statements included in Item 8 of the Form 10-K. For the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, Con Edison's basic and diluted EPS are calculated as follows:

(Millions of Dollars, except per share amounts/Shares in Millions)	2008	2007
Income from continuing operations	\$ 300	\$ 258
Income/(Loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	3	(2)
Net income	\$ 303	\$ 256
Weighted average common shares outstanding - Basic	272.2	258.0
Add: Incremental shares attributable to effect of potentially dilutive securities	8.0	1.1
Adjusted weighted average common shares outstanding - Diluted	273.0	259.1
EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE - BASIC		
Continuing operations	\$ 1.10	\$ 1.00
Discontinued operations	0.01	(0.01)
Net income	\$ 1.11	\$ 0.99
EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE - DILUTED		
Continuing operations	\$ 1.10	\$ 1.00
Discontinued operations	0.01	(0.01)
Net income	\$ 1.11	\$ 0.99

Note B - Regulatory Matters

Reference is made to "Accounting Policies" in Note A and "Rate Agreements" in Note B to the financial statements included in Item 8 of the Form 10-K.

Rate Agreements

Con Edison of New York - Electric

In March 2008, the PSC adopted an order, issued and effective March 25, 2008, granting Con Edison of New York an electric rate increase, effective April 1, 2008, of \$425 million.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) — CONTINUED

The PSC ruling reflected the following major items:

- a return on common equity of 9.1 percent;
- an increase to \$150 million from \$60 million in the level of annual revenues that, for purposes of setting rates, it is assumed the company will receive and retain from the sale of transmission rights on the company's transmission system, with the difference between such actual revenues for the rate year and \$150 million to be recoverable from or refundable to customers, as the case may be;
- collection of \$237 million of the \$425 million rate increase is subject to potential refund to customers following further PSC review and completion of an investigation by the PSC staff (which will be in addition to a management and operations audit to be performed by an auditor selected by the PSC) of the \$1.6 billion of capital expenditures during the April 2005 through March 2008 period covered by the 2005 Electric Rate Agreement for transmission and distribution utility plant that were above the amounts of such expenditures reflected in rates;
- continuation of the rate provisions under which pension and other post-retirement benefit expenses and environmental remediation expenses are reconciled to amounts reflected in rates;
- change to the reconciliation provisions for transmission and distribution expenditures and costs to relocate facilities to accommodate government projects, which under the PSC ruling will be reconciled only to the extent actual expenditures are less than amounts reflected in rates;
- · discontinuation of the provisions under which property taxes were reconciled to amounts reflected in rates;
- potential operations penalties of up to \$152 million annually if certain customer service and system reliability performance targets are not met;
- implementation of a revenue decoupling mechanism under which the company's actual energy delivery revenues would be compared, on a periodic basis, with the authorized delivery revenues with the difference accrued, with interest, for refund to, or recovery from, customers, as applicable; and
- · continuation of the rate provisions pursuant to which the company recovers its purchased power and fuel costs from customers.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) — CONTINUED

O&R - Electric

On April 18, 2008, O&R entered into a Joint Proposal with the PSC staff and other parties for the rates O&R can charge its New York customers for electric service from July 2008 through June 2011. The Joint Proposal, which is subject to approval by the PSC, provides for electric rate increases of \$15.6 million, \$15.6 million and \$5.7 million effective July 1, 2008, 2009 and 2010, respectively, and the collection of an additional \$9.9 million during the 12-month period beginning July 1, 2010. The PSC is expected to consider the Joint Proposal in July 2008.

The Joint Proposal reflected the following major items:

- an annual return on common equity of 9.4 percent;
- most of any actual earnings above a 10.2 percent return on equity (based on actual average common equity ratio, subject to a 50 percent maximum) are to be applied to reduce regulatory assets for pension and other post-retirement benefit expenses;
- deferral as a regulatory asset or regulatory liability, as the case may be, of the difference between actual pension and other post-retirement benefit
 expenses, environmental remediation expenses, property taxes, tax-exempt debt costs and certain other expenses and amounts for those expenses
 reflected in rates;
- deferral as a regulatory liability of the revenue requirement impact (i.e., return on investment, depreciation and income taxes) of the amount, if any, by which actual transmission and distribution related capital expenditures are less than amounts reflected in rates;
- deferral as a regulatory asset of increases, if any, in certain expenses above a 4 percent annual inflation rate, but only if the actual annual return on common equity is less than 9.4 percent;
- potential operations penalties of approximately \$2 million to \$3 million annually if certain customer service and system reliability performance targets are not met;
- implementation of a revenue decoupling mechanism under which actual energy delivery revenues would be compared, on a periodic basis, with the authorized delivery revenues with the difference accrued, with interest, for refund to, or recovery from, customers, as applicable;
- · continuation of the rate provisions pursuant to which the company recovers its purchased power costs from customers; and
- · withdrawal of the litigation O&R commenced seeking to annul the PSC's March and October 2007 orders relating to O&R's electric rates.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) — CONTINUED

Regulatory Assets and Liabilities
Regulatory assets and liabilities at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 were comprised of the following items:

Con Edison				dison of York
(Millions of Dollars)	2008	2007	2008	2007
Regulatory assets				
Unrecognized pension and other postretirement costs	\$2,160	\$2,106	\$2,015	\$1,956
Future federal income tax	1,140	1,112	1,084	1,057
Environmental remediation costs	380	378	314	312
World Trade Center restoration costs	152	154	152	154
Pension and other postretirement benefits deferrals	83	152	28	96
Revenue taxes	80	77	79	75
O&R transition bond charges	62	63	44	44
Unbilled gas revenue Workers' compensation	44 40	44 41	44	44
Net electric deferrals	36	41	36	41
	15	16	15	16
Other retirement program costs Asbestos-related costs	10	10	10	10
Deferred derivative losses - long-term	3	5	3	4
Net T&D reconciliation	_	142	_	142
Recoverable energy costs	<u> </u>	50		50
Electric rate increase accrual	<u> </u>	14		14
Other	174	147	154	132
Regulatory assets	4,379	4,511	3,974	4,103
Deferred derivative losses - current	1	45		44
Recoverable energy costs - current	168	213	163	190
Total Regulatory Assets	\$4,548	\$4,769	\$4,137	\$4,337
Regulatory liabilities				
Allowance for cost of removal less salvage	\$ 406	\$ 422	\$ 344	\$ 362
Net electric deferrals	76	33	76	33
Refundable energy costs	44	29	21	_
Deferred derivative gains - long-term	38	21	15	8
Gain on sale of First Avenue properties	32	124	32	124
Gas excess earnings	20	10	20	10
Transmission congestion contracts	17	40	17	40
Net steam deferrals	14	21	14	21
Gas interruptible sales credits	6	10	6	10
Property tax reconciliation	5	41	5	41
EPA SO ₂ allowance proceeds - electric and steam	4	18	4	18
Prior year deferred tax amortization	2	51	2	51
NYS tax law changes	1	42	_	41
DC service incentive	1	10	1	10
Interest on federal income tax refund		41	_	41
2004 electric, gas and steam one-time rate agreement charges	_	16	_	16
Gain on sale of W. 24 th St. property		10		10
Other P. L. W. H. W. W.	120	158	105	140
Regulatory liabilities	786	1,097	662	976
Deferred derivative gains - current	138	10	102	5
Total Regulatory Liabilities	\$ 924	\$1,107	\$ 764	\$ 981

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) — CONTINUED

In March 2008, consistent with Con Edison of New York's electric rate plans, the company offset \$267 million of regulatory liabilities against an equal amount of regulatory assets. The regulatory liabilities settled related primarily to proceeds from the sale of sulfur dioxide allowances, prior year's transmission congestion contracts auction proceeds, gains from the sale of properties, penalties related to customer outages, and the cost reconciliations for property taxes and interference costs. The regulatory assets recovered related primarily to the Net T&D reconciliation and cost reconciliations for pension and other postretirement benefit costs.

Power Outage Proceedings

During a July 2006 heat wave, electric service was interrupted to a number of Con Edison of New York's customers, predominantly in the company's Long Island City distribution network in Queens, New York. Also, a number of the company's customers in Westchester County, New York, experienced weather-related outages in 2006.

In April 2007, the PSC expanded its ongoing proceeding investigating the Queens outage to also consider the prudence of the company's conduct with respect to the outage. In February 2008, a PSC administrative law judge expanded the proceeding to also include an examination of whether the company was grossly negligent or acted in wanton disregard of the consequences with respect to the outage. The investigation has been reviewing the circumstances surrounding the outage, the company's response, communication and restoration efforts, the need for changes to the company's practices and procedures and the costs incurred by the company related to the outage. The PSC indicated that the prudence examination should consider and address, among other things: (i) the reasonableness of the company's response to the outage, its monitoring of its distribution system, its use of available information, its procedures for determining whether to shut down the Long Island City network (and the prudence of its decision not to do so) and its operation and maintenance of equipment in the Long Island City network; and (ii) whether and to what extent, the expenses and capital expenditures associated with the outage that the company has incurred, or may incur, should be borne by the company's customers. In February 2007, the PSC staff issued a report on the outage which, among other things, included the PSC staff's (i) finding that the overriding cause of the outage was the company's failure to adequately operate, maintain and oversee the Long Island City network, (ii) conclusion that the company should have, but failed to, shut down the Long Island City network to minimize the impact of the outage to customers, and (iii) recommendation that the PSC initiate a proceeding to consider the prudence of the company's actions or inactions during the outage.

In April 2008, Con Edison of New York entered into a Joint Proposal with the PSC staff and other parties with respect to the Queens outage. The Joint Proposal, which is subject to PSC approval,

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) — CONTINUED

provides that (i) the company will make available \$17 million for the benefit of the communities affected by the outage, including customer bill credits, and will not recover from customers \$40 million of capital costs incurred to replace and repair electric delivery facilities and \$6 million of related carrying charges; and (ii) the company will be released from all prudence-related claims that were or could have been asserted in any PSC proceeding relating to the outage other than with respect to any damage to the Long Island City network, or incremental costs, that are neither known nor reasonably foreseeable.

The PSC is also reviewing the Westchester outages, and has ordered the company to show cause why it should not be liable for certain food spoilage claims in connection with the September 2006 outage in Westchester resulting from Tropical Storm Ernesto.

The PSC engaged an independent third party consultant to audit the company's performance in response to outage emergencies and planning for restoration of service. In October 2007, the consultant issued its report, which identified opportunities for improvement in emergency response, policy, organization, performance and communication. The consultant, among other things, recommended that (i) the company prepare a multi-year strategic plan focusing on system reliability, emergency preparedness, and major outage prevention and event restoration; (ii) the company restructure its emergency organizational function in accordance with the strategic plan; and (iii) a comprehensive study be done to determine if the company is providing adequate resources to support its infrastructure.

From July 2006 through March 31, 2008, Con Edison of New York had paid \$14 million, \$5 million of which was reimbursed by insurers, to compensate customers for spoilage of food and other perishables resulting from the Queens outage, incurred estimated operating costs of \$40 million, \$1 million of which was reimbursed by insurers, invested \$50 million in capital assets and retirements in the Long Island City network after the Queens outage, and reduced revenues under its 2005 Electric Rate Agreement by \$18 million relating to customer outages. Nine lawsuits are pending against the company in connection with the Queens outage seeking generally unspecified compensatory and, in some cases, punitive damages, for personal injury, property damage and business interruption.

The tariff provisions under which Con Edison of New York provides electric service limits the company's liability to pay for damages resulting from service interruptions to circumstances in which the company was grossly negligent and provides reimbursement to electric consumers for spoilage losses resulting from service interruptions in certain circumstances. In general, under the tariff provisions approved by the PSC in November 2007, the company is obligated to reimburse affected residential and commercial customers for food spoilage of up to \$450 and \$9,000, respectively, and

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) — CONTINUED

reimburse affected residential customers for prescription medicine spoilage losses without limitation on amount per claim. Reimbursement of such spoilage losses is limited to a maximum aggregate of \$15 million for an outage. The company is not required to provide reimbursement for outages attributable to generation or transmission system facilities or events beyond its control, such as storms, provided the company makes reasonable efforts to restore service as soon as practicable.

The Companies believe that their financial statements appropriately reflect in all material respects the outage and related proceedings based upon available information but are unable to predict whether the outages and any related proceedings will have any further material adverse effect on their results of operations or have a material adverse effect on their financial position or liquidity.

Note C - Long-term Debt

Reference is made to Note C to the financial statements in Item 8 of the Form 10-K.

In April 2008, Con Edison of New York issued \$600 million aggregate principal amount of 5.85 percent Debentures, Series 2008 A, due 2018, and \$600 million aggregate principal amount of 6.75 percent Debentures, Series 2008 B, due 2038, the proceeds of which were used to repay short-term borrowings and for other general corporate purposes. See Note D.

At March 31, 2008, \$45 million of the \$55 million of O&R's weekly-rate, tax-exempt debt that is insured by Financial Guaranty Insurance Company (Series 1994A Debt), and \$16 million of the \$44 million of such debt insured by Ambac Assurance Company (Series 1995 A Debt), had been tendered by bondholders and purchased with funds drawn under letters of credit maintained as liquidity facilities for the tax-exempt debt. At March 31, 2008, in Con Edison's consolidated balance sheet, O&R's obligation to reimburse the bank that issued the letter of credit for the Series 1994A Debt was included as short-term borrowing. The portions of the Series 1994A Debt and Series 1995A Debt that had not been tendered and O&R's obligation to reimburse the bank for the Series 1995A Debt were included as long-term debt. In April 2008, O&R reimbursed the bank for the funds used to purchase Series 1994A Debt, together with interest thereon. Also in April 2008, the bank was reimbursed for funds used to purchase Series 1995A Debt with proceeds from the remarketing of the debt to new bondholders and O&R reimbursed the bank for interest on such funds.

At March 31, 2008, \$323 million of long-term debt due within one year was reclassified to long-term debt because Con Edison now intends to exchange its unsecured debt for project debt, which the company previously expected would be repaid from the proceeds of the sale of the project later this year. See Note N.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) — CONTINUED

Note D - Short-Term Borrowing and Credit Agreements

Reference is made to Note D to the financial statements in Item 8 of the Form 10-K.

At March 31, 2008, Con Edison had \$1,150 million of commercial paper outstanding, of which \$895 million was outstanding under Con Edison of New York's program. The weighted average interest rate was 3.5 percent for each of Con Edison and Con Edison of New York. At March 31, 2007, Con Edison had \$266 million of commercial paper outstanding, of which \$261 million was outstanding under Con Edison of New York's program. The weighted average interest rate at March 31, 2007 was 5.5 percent for each of Con Edison and Con Edison of New York. At March 31, 2008 and 2007, no loans were outstanding under the Companies' credit agreements and \$108.7 million and \$87.3 million of letters of credit were outstanding, respectively.

At March 31, 2008, short-term borrowing also included \$45 million relating to O&R's Series 1994A Debt. See Note C.

Note E - Pension Benefits

Reference is made to Note E to the financial statements in Item 8 of the Form 10-K.

Net Periodic Benefit Cost

The components of the Companies' net periodic benefit costs for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 were as follows:

						Con	Edison of	
	Con Edison				New York			
(Millions of Dollars)	2	2008 2007		2008		2	2007	
Service cost - including administrative expenses	\$	35	\$	33	\$	32	\$	30
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation		129		123		120		115
Expected return on plan assets		(173)		(161)		(165)		(154)
Amortization of net actuarial loss		48		40		43		35
Amortization of prior service costs		2		2		2		2
NET PERIODIC BENEFIT COST	\$	41	\$	37	\$	32	\$	28
Amortization of regulatory asset*		1		1		1		1
TOTAL PERIODIC BENEFIT COST	\$	42	\$	38	\$	33	\$	29
Cost capitalized		(14)		(12)		(12)		(10)
Cost deferred		(20)		(29)		(21)		(27)
Cost charged/(credited) to operating expenses	\$	8	\$	(3)	\$		\$	(8)

Relates to increases in Con Edison of New York's pension obligations of \$33 million from a 1993 special retirement program and \$45 million from a 1999 special retirement program.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) — CONTINUED

In the first quarter of 2008, in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans - an amendment of Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R)" (SFAS No. 158) and based on the final actuarial valuation as of December 31, 2007, Con Edison adjusted the estimated amounts recorded under SFAS No. 158 by increasing its pension liability by \$108 million and the related regulatory asset by \$106 million and recognizing a charge of \$1 million (net of taxes) to other comprehensive income (OCI). Con Edison of New York recorded an additional pension liability of \$104 million and an additional regulatory asset of \$103 million.

Expected Contributions

Based on current estimates, the Companies are not required under funding regulations and laws to make any contributions to the pension plan during 2008. The Companies' policy is to fund their accounting cost to the extent tax deductible, therefore, Con Edison and Con Edison of New York expect to make discretionary contributions of \$147 million and \$114 million, respectively, to the pension plan during 2008. The Companies' 2008 funding level for the non-qualified supplemental pension plans has not yet been determined.

Note F - Other Postretirement Benefits

Reference is made to Note F to the financial statements in Item 8 of the Form 10-K.

Net Periodic Benefit Cost

The components of the Companies' net periodic postretirement benefit costs for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 were as follows:

						Con E	dison of	
		Con Edison			New York			
(Millions of Dollars)	20	008	20	007	2	008	2	007
Service cost	\$	5	\$	4	\$	4	\$	3
Interest cost on accumulated other postretirement benefit obligation		24		23		21		20
Expected return on plan assets		(22)		(20)		(20)		(18)
Amortization of net actuarial loss		17		17		15		15
Amortization of prior service cost		(3)		(4)		(3)		(4)
Amortization of transition obligation		1		1		1		1
NET PERIODIC POSTRETIREMENT BENEFIT COST	\$	22	\$	21	\$	18	\$	17
Cost capitalized		(8)		(7)		(6)		(6)
Cost deferred		(7)		(11)		(7)		(9)
Cost charged to operating expenses	\$	7	\$	3	\$	5	\$	2

In the first quarter of 2008, in accordance with SFAS No. 158 and based on the final actuarial valuation as of December 31, 2007, Con Edison adjusted the estimated amounts recorded under SFAS

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) — CONTINUED

No. 158 by increasing its liability for other postretirement benefits by \$11 million and the related regulatory asset by \$11 million. Con Edison of New York recorded an additional liability for other postretirement benefits of \$13 million and an additional regulatory asset of \$13 million.

Expected Contributions

Based on current estimates, Con Edison and Con Edison of New York expect to make contributions of \$77 million and \$63 million, respectively, to the other postretirement benefit plans in 2008.

Note G - Environmental Matters Superfund Sites

Hazardous substances, such as asbestos, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and coal tar, have been used or generated in the course of operations of the Utilities and their predecessors and are present at sites and in facilities and equipment they currently or previously owned, including sites at which gas was manufactured or stored.

The Federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 and similar state statutes (Superfund) impose joint and several liability, regardless of fault, upon generators of hazardous substances for investigation and remediation costs (which include costs of demolition, removal, disposal, storage, replacement, containment, and monitoring) and environmental damages. Liability under these laws can be material and may be imposed for contamination from past acts, even though such past acts may have been lawful at the time they occurred. The sites at which the Utilities have been asserted to have liability under these laws, including their manufactured gas plant sites and any neighboring areas to which contamination may have migrated, are referred to herein as "Superfund Sites."

For Superfund Sites where there are other potentially responsible parties and the Utilities are not managing the site investigation and remediation, the accrued liability represents an estimate of the amount the Utilities will need to pay to discharge their related obligations. For Superfund Sites (including the manufactured gas plant sites) for which one of the Utilities is managing the investigation and remediation, the accrued liability represents an estimate of the company's share of undiscounted cost to investigate the sites and, for sites that have been investigated in whole or in part, the cost to remediate the sites. Remediation costs are estimated in light of the information available, applicable remediation standards, and experience with similar sites.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) — CONTINUED

The accrued liabilities and regulatory assets related to Superfund Sites at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 were as follows:

			Con E	dison of
	Con	Edison	New	York
(Millions of Dollars)	2008	2007	2008	2007
Accrued Liabilities:				
Manufactured gas plant sites	\$252	\$267	\$ 197	\$ 212
Other Superfund Sites	60	60	59	59
Total	\$312	\$327	\$ 256	\$ 271
Regulatory assets	\$380	\$378	\$ 314	\$ 312

Most of the accrued Superfund Site liability relates to sites that have been investigated, in whole or in part. As investigations progress on these and other sites, the Utilities expect that additional liability will be accrued, the amount of which is not presently determinable but may be material. Under their current rate agreements, the Utilities are permitted to recover or defer as regulatory assets (for subsequent recovery through rates) certain site investigation and remediation costs.

There were no insurance recoveries received related to Superfund Sites for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007. Environmental remediation costs incurred related to Superfund Sites at March 31, 2008 and 2007 were as follows:

						Con E	dison of		
		Con	Edison		New York				
(Millions of Dollars)	20	2008		7	20	908	20	07	
Remediation costs incurred	\$	22	\$	9	\$	21	\$	9	

In 2006, Con Edison of New York estimated that for its manufactured gas plant sites, its aggregate undiscounted potential liability for the investigation and remediation of coal tar and/or other manufactured gas plant-related environmental contaminants could range up to \$1.1 billion. In 2007, O&R estimated that for its manufactured gas plant sites, each of which has been investigated, the aggregate undiscounted potential liability for the remediation of such contaminants could range up to \$115 million. These estimates were based on the assumption that there is contamination at the sites that have not yet been investigated and additional assumptions about these and the other sites regarding the extent of contamination and the type and extent of remediation that may be required. Actual experience may be materially different.

Asbestos Proceedings

Suits have been brought in New York State and federal courts against the Utilities and many other defendants, wherein a large number of plaintiffs sought large amounts of compensatory and punitive

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) — CONTINUED

damages for deaths and injuries allegedly caused by exposure to asbestos at various premises of the Utilities. The suits that have been resolved, which are many, have been resolved without any payment by the Utilities, or for amounts that were not, in the aggregate, material to them. The amounts specified in all the remaining thousands of suits total billions of dollars; however, the Utilities believe that these amounts are greatly exaggerated, based on the disposition of previous claims. In 2006, Con Edison of New York estimated that its aggregate undiscounted potential liability for these suits and additional suits that may be brought over the next 15 years is \$10 million. The estimate was based upon a combination of modeling, historical data analysis and risk factor assessment. Actual experience may be materially different. In addition, certain current and former employees have claimed or are claiming workers' compensation benefits based on alleged disability from exposure to asbestos. Under its current rate agreements, Con Edison of New York is permitted to defer as regulatory assets (for subsequent recovery through rates) costs incurred for its asbestos lawsuits and workers' compensation claims. The accrued liability for asbestos suits and workers' compensation proceedings (including those related to asbestos exposure) and the amounts deferred as regulatory assets for the Companies at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 were as follows:

						Con E	taison of			
			w York							
(Millions of Dollars)		2008		2007		2007		2008		007
Accrued liability - asbestos suits	\$	10	\$	10	\$	10	\$	10		
Regulatory assets - asbestos suits	\$	10	\$	10	\$	10	\$	10		
Accrued liability - workers' compensation	\$	116	\$	116	\$	111	\$	111		
Regulatory assets - workers' compensation	\$	40	\$	41	\$	40	\$	41		

Note H - Other Material Contingencies Manhattan Steam Main Rupture

In July 2007, a Con Edison of New York steam main located in midtown Manhattan ruptured. It has been reported that one person died and others were injured as a result of the incident. Several buildings in the area were damaged. Debris from the incident included dirt and mud containing asbestos. The response to the incident required the closing of several buildings and streets for various periods. As of March 31, 2008, with respect to the incident, the company incurred estimated operating costs of \$32 million for property damage, clean up and other response costs, recorded \$19 million in actual and expected insurance recoveries and invested \$13 million in capital, retirement and other costs. Over 40 plaintiffs have sued the company seeking generally unspecified compensatory and, in some cases, punitive damages, for personal injury, property damage and business interruption. The company has notified its insurers of the incident and believes that the policies currently in force will cover most of the company's costs, which the company is unable to estimate, but which could be substantial, to satisfy its liability to others in connection with the incident.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) — CONTINUED

Northeast Utilities Litigation

In March 2008, Con Edison and Northeast Utilities agreed to resolve to their mutual satisfaction their pending legal proceeding relating to their agreement and plan of merger, dated as of October 13, 1999, as amended and restated as of January 11, 2000. Northeast Utilities paid Con Edison \$49.5 million, which is recorded in investment and other income on the consolidated income statement, and the parties dismissed their respective claims against each other relating to this proceeding. For additional information about the legal proceeding, see Note H to the financial statements in Item 8 of the Form 10-K.

Lease In/Lease Out Transactions

In each of 1997 and 1999, Con Edison Development entered into a transaction in which it leased property and then immediately subleased it back to the lessor (termed "Lease In/Lease Out," or LILO transaction). The transactions respectively involve gas distribution and electric generating facilities in the Netherlands, with a total investment of \$259 million. The transactions were financed with \$93 million of equity and \$166 million of non-recourse, long-term debt secured by the underlying assets. In accordance with SFAS No. 13, Con Edison is accounting for the two LILO transactions as leveraged leases. Accordingly, the company's investment in these leases, net of non-recourse debt, is carried as a single amount in Con Edison's consolidated balance sheet and income is recognized pursuant to a method that incorporates a level rate of return for those years when net investment in the lease is positive, based upon the after-tax cash flows projected at the inception of the leveraged leases. At March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the company's investment in these leveraged leases was \$4 million and \$9 million, respectively, comprised of a \$235 million gross investment less \$231 million of deferred tax liabilities in 2008 and \$235 million gross investment less \$226 million of deferred tax liabilities in 2007.

On audit of Con Edison's tax return for 1997, the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) disallowed the tax losses in connection with the 1997 LILO transaction. In December 2005, Con Edison paid a \$0.3 million income tax deficiency asserted by the IRS for the tax year 1997 with respect to the 1997 LILO transaction. In April 2006, the company paid interest of \$0.2 million associated with the deficiency and commenced an action in the United States Court of Federal Claims, entitled Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. v. United States, to obtain a refund of this tax payment and interest. A trial was completed in November 2007 and the parties are in the process of filing post trial briefs. A decision is possible later this year.

In connection with its audit of Con Edison's federal income tax return for the tax year 2006, the IRS disallowed \$43 million of net tax deductions taken with respect to both of the LILO transactions for the tax year. Con Edison filed an appeal of this audit level disallowance with the Appeals Office of the

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) — CONTINUED

IRS, where consideration of this matter is pending. In connection with its audit of Con Edison's federal income tax returns for the tax years 1998 through 2005, the IRS indicated that it intends to disallow \$332 million of net tax deductions taken with respect to both of the LILO transactions for the tax years. If and when these audit level disallowances become appealable, Con Edison intends to file appeals of the disallowances with the Appeals Office of the IRS.

Con Edison believes that its LILO transactions have been correctly reported, and has not recorded any reserve with respect to the disallowance of tax losses, or related interest, in connection with its LILO transactions. Con Edison's estimated tax savings, reflected in its financial statements, from the two LILO transactions through March 31, 2008, in the aggregate, was \$175 million. If Con Edison were required to repay all or a portion of these amounts, it would also be required to pay interest of up to \$67 million at March 31, 2008.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. FASB Statement (FAS) 13-2, "Accounting for a Change or Projected Change in the Timing of Cash Flows Relating to Income Taxes Generated by a Leveraged Lease Transaction," which became effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. This FSP requires the expected timing of income tax cash flows generated by Con Edison's LILO transactions to be reviewed at least annually. If the expected timing of the cash flows is revised, the rate of return and the allocation of income would be recalculated from the inception of the LILO transactions, and the company would be required to recalculate the accounting effect of the LILO transactions, which would result in a charge to earnings that could have a material adverse effect on the company's results of operations.

Other Contingencies

See "Power Outage Proceedings" in Note B.

Guarantees

Con Edison and its subsidiaries enter into various agreements providing financial or performance assurance primarily to third parties on behalf of their subsidiaries. In addition, a Con Edison Development subsidiary has issued a guarantee on behalf of an entity in which it has an equity interest. Maximum amounts guaranteed by Con Edison totaled \$1.4 billion at both March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007.

Notes to the Financial Statements (Unaudited) — continued

A summary, by type and term, of Con Edison's total guarantees at March 31, 2008 is as follows:

Guarantee Type	0 -	-3 years	4 –1	0 years	> 1	0 years	Total
			(1	Aillions of	Dollars)	
Commodity transactions	\$	854	\$	36	\$	217	\$1,107
Affordable housing program		_		19		_	19
Intra-company guarantees		24		14		1	39
Other guarantees		221		34		_	255
Total	\$	1,099	\$	103	\$	218	\$1,420

For a description of guarantee types, see Note H to the financial statements in Item 8 of the Form 10-K.

Note I - Stock-Based Compensation

For a description of stock-based compensation, including stock options, restricted stock units (RSUs) and stock purchase plan, reference is made to Note M to the financial statements in Item 8 of the Form 10-K.

In accordance with SFAS No. 123(R), "Share-Based Payment," the Companies have recognized the cost of stock-based compensation as an expense using a fair value measurement method. The following table summarizes stock-based compensation expense recognized by the Companies in the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007:

	Con 1	Edison		dison of ≀York
(Millions of Dollars)	2008	2007	2008	2007
Stock options	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 1
Performance-based restricted stock	(1)	1	(1)	1
Total	\$ —	\$ 2	\$ —	\$ 2

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) — CONTINUED

Stock Options

A summary of changes in the status of stock options awarded as of March 31, 2008 and 2007 is as follows:

	Con E	Edison	Con Ed New	•
		Weighted		Weighted
		Average		Average
		Exercise		Exercise
	Shares	Price	Shares	Price
Outstanding at 12/31/06	8,617,601	\$ 42.773	7,346,601	\$ 42.842
Granted				_
Exercised	(975,100)	41.630	(907,050)	41.634
Forfeited	(1,001)	42.169	(1,001)	42.169
Outstanding at 3/31/07	7,641,500	\$ 42.919	6,438,550	\$ 43.013
Outstanding at 12/31/07	6,596,850	\$ 43.072	5,531,850	\$ 43.187
Granted			_	
Exercised	(26,500)	39.658	(22,000)	39.242
Forfeited	(75,550)	43.028	(73,050)	43.032
Outstanding at 3/31/08	6,494,800	\$ 43.087	5,436,800	\$ 43.205

The change in the fair value of all outstanding options from their grant dates to March 31, 2008 and 2007 (aggregate intrinsic value) for Con Edison was \$(22) million and \$62 million, respectively. The change in the fair value of all outstanding options from their grant dates to March 31, 2008 and 2007 (aggregate intrinsic value) for Con Edison of New York was \$(19) million and \$52 million, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised in the period ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 was \$0.2 million and \$7 million, respectively, and the cash received by Con Edison for payment of the exercise price was \$1 million and \$40 million, respectively. The weighted average remaining contractual life of options outstanding is four years as of March 31, 2008.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) — CONTINUED

The following table summarizes stock options outstanding at March 31, 2008 for each plan year for the Companies:

		Con E	dison of New	York			
			Weighted			Weighted	
	Remaining		Average			Average	
	Contractual	Options	Exercise	Options	Options	Exercise	Options
Plan Year	Life	Outstanding	Price	Exercisable	Outstanding	Price	Exercisable
2006	8	1,633,800	\$ 45.163	_	1,398,700	\$ 45.189	_
2005	7	1,251,500	42.745	459,000	1,020,250	42.722	359,000
2004	6	927,900	43.768	927,900	741,850	43.763	741,850
2003	5	791,600	39.951	791,600	622,900	39.976	622,900
2002	4	850,550	42.510	850,550	714,550	42.510	714,550
2001	3	366,800	37.750	366,800	318,800	37.750	318,800
2000	2	125,150	32.500	125,150	89,650	32.500	89,650
1999	1	547,500	47.938	547,500	530,100	47.938	530,100
Total		6,494,800	\$ 43.086	4,068,500	5,436,800	\$ 43.205	3,376,850

There were no new awards granted in 2008 and 2007. The total expense to be recognized in future periods for unvested stock options outstanding as of March 31, 2008 is \$1 million for Con Edison and Con Edison of New York.

Restricted Stock Units

At March 31, 2008 and 2007, there were 114,205 and 92,500 units outstanding, respectively, for Con Edison employees, of which 62,205 and 41,700 units outstanding, respectively, for Con Edison of New York. The weighted average fair value as of the grant date of the outstanding units other than Performance RSUs or awards under the directors' deferred compensation plan for March 31, 2008 and 2007 was \$42.81 and \$40.88 per unit, respectively, for Con Edison. The weighted average fair value as of the grant date of the outstanding units for March 31, 2008 and 2007 was \$45.81 and \$43.23 per unit, respectively, for Con Edison of New York. The total expense to be recognized by the Companies in future periods for unvested awards outstanding as of March 31, 2008 for Con Edison and Con Edison of New York was \$0.7 million.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) — CONTINUED

A summary of changes in the status of the Performance RSUs Total Shareholder Return (TSR) portion during the periods ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 is as follows:

			Con E	dison of
	Con E	dison	New	York York
		Weighted		Weighted
		Average		Average
		Fair		Fair
	Units	Value*	Units	Value*
Non-vested at 12/31/06	126,425	\$ 13.992	94,025	\$ 14.420
Granted	113,600	45.730	81,848	45.730
Vested and Exercised	(31,400)	_	(21,475)	
Forfeited	_	_		
Non-vested at 3/31/07	208,625	\$ 36.108	154,398	\$ 35.709
Non-vested at 12/31/07	195,980	\$ 33.398	146,033	\$ 33.048
Granted	159,950	36.270	115,758	36.270
Vested and Exercised	(5)	31.370		_
Forfeited	(5,270)	_	(200)	
Non-vested at 3/31/08	350,655	\$ 21.178	261,591	\$ 20.918

Fair value is determined using the Monte Carlo simulation.

A summary of changes in the status of the Performance RSUs' Executive Incentive Plan (EIP) portion during the periods ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 is as follows:

	Con E	Con Edison		Con Edison of New York	
		Weighted		Weighted	
		Average		Average	
	Units	Price	Units	Price	
Non-vested at 12/31/06	126,425	\$ 48.070	94,025	\$ 48.070	
Granted	113,600	47.815	81,848	47.807	
Vested and Exercised	(31,400)	47.530	(21,475)	47.530	
Forfeited	<u> </u>				
Non-vested at 3/31/07	208,625	\$ 51.060	154,398	\$ 51.060	
Non-vested at 12/31/07	195,980	\$ 48.850	146,033	\$ 48.850	
Granted	159,950	46.440	115,758	46.440	
Vested and Exercised	(20)	43.570	_		
Forfeited	(5,255)	_	(200)		
Non-vested at 3/31/08	350,655	\$ 39.700	261,591	\$ 39.700	

The total expense to be recognized by Con Edison in future periods for unvested Performance RSUs outstanding as of March 31, 2008 is \$15 million, including \$11 million for Con Edison of New York.

Stock Purchase Plan

In the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, 161,356 shares and 149,397 shares were purchased under the Stock Purchase Plan at a weighted average price of \$47.37 and \$48.50 per share, respectively.

Total Con Edison

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) — CONTINUED

Note J - Financial Information by Business Segment

Reference is made to Note N to the financial statements in Item 8 of the Form 10-K.

The financial data for the business segments are as follows:

For the Three Months Ended March 31, Operating Inter-segment Depreciation Operating Revenues and amortization revenues Income (Millions of Dollars) 2008 2007 2008 2007 2008 2007 2008 2007 Con Edison of New York \$ \$1,715 \$1,643 \$ 3 \$ \$ 109 \$173 Electric 3 117 \$163 Gas 741 735 22 21 114 112 1 1 285 18 Steam 295 18 15 15 56 61 (22) Consolidation adjustments (22)Total Con Edison of New York \$2,741 \$2,673 \$ -154 145 \$333 \$346 \$ — \$ \$ O&R \$ \$ \$ 7 \$ 10 Electric \$ 158 144 \$ — \$ \$ 6 5 Gas 105 113 3 14 16 Total O&R \$ 263 \$ 257 \$ — \$ \$ 10 \$ \$ 19 \$ 26 Competitive energy businesses \$ 574 \$ 428 \$ \$ 5 \$ \$ \$ 38 \$ 6 1 1 Other* (1) (2) (1) (5)(1)

Note K - Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

Reference is made to Note O to the financial statements in Item 8 of the Form 10-K.

The Companies follow FIN No. 39, "Offsetting Amounts Related to Certain Contracts," and offset assets and liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet provided that the legal right of offset exists.

\$3,577

\$3,357

\$

\$

165

\$

\$

158

\$390

\$376

In April 2007, the FASB issued FSP FIN No. 39-1, "Amendment of FASB Interpretation No. 39." This FSP permits a reporting entity to offset fair value amounts recognized for the right to reclaim cash collateral (a receivable) or the obligation to return cash collateral (a payable) against fair value amounts recognized for derivative instruments that have been offset provided that the receivable or payable arises from the same master netting agreement with the same counterparty as the derivative instruments. On January 1, 2008, the Companies adopted the netting provisions of FSP FIN No. 39-1. The adoption of FSP FIN No. 39-1 did not have a material impact on the Companies' financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

^{*} Parent company expenses, primarily interest, and consolidation adjustments. Other does not represent a business segment.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) — CONTINUED

Energy Price Hedging

Con Edison's subsidiaries hedge market price fluctuations associated with physical purchases and sales of electricity, natural gas, and steam by using derivative instruments including futures, forwards, basis swaps, options, transmission congestion contracts and financial transmission rights contracts. The fair values of these hedges at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 were as follows:

			Cor	n Edison of
	Con E	dison	N	lew York
(Millions of Dollars)	2008	2007	2008	2007
Fair value of net assets - gross	\$193	\$ (70)	\$ 85	\$ (49)
Impact of netting of cash collateral	(5)	115	12	92
Fair value of net assets - net	\$188	\$ 45	\$ 97	\$ 43

Credit Exposure

The Companies are exposed to credit risk related to transactions entered into primarily for the various energy supply and hedging activities by the Utilities and the competitive energy businesses. The Companies use credit policies to manage this risk, including an established credit approval process, monitoring of counterparty limits, netting provisions within agreements, collateral or prepayment arrangements, credit insurance and credit default swaps.

Con Edison and Con Edison of New York had \$358 million and \$130 million, respectively, of credit exposure in connection with energy supply and hedging activities, net of collateral and reserves, at March 31, 2008. Con Edison's net credit exposure consisted of \$279 million with investment-grade counterparties (a portion of which is insured through credit insurance and hedged with credit default swaps), and \$79 million primarily with commodity exchange brokers or independent system operators. Con Edison of New York's net credit exposure consisted of \$65 million with investment-grade counterparties and \$65 million with commodity exchange brokers.

Economic Hedges

The Companies enter into certain derivative instruments that do not qualify or are not designated as hedges under SFAS No. 133. However, management believes these instruments represent economic hedges that mitigate exposure to fluctuations in commodity prices. The Utilities are permitted by their respective regulators to reflect in rates all reasonably incurred gains and losses on these instruments. See "Recoverable Energy Costs" in Note A to the financial statements in Item 8 of the Form 10-K. Con Edison's competitive energy businesses record unrealized gains and losses on these derivative contracts in earnings in the reporting period in which they occur. For the periods ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, Con Edison recorded in non-utility operating revenues an unrealized pre-tax gain of \$52 million and a pre-tax loss of \$16 million, respectively.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) — CONTINUED

Interest Rate Hedging

Con Edison's subsidiaries use interest rate swaps to manage interest rate exposure associated with debt. The fair values of these interest rate swaps at March 31, 2008 were not material.

Cash Flow Hedges

Con Edison Development's swaps are designated as cash flow hedges under SFAS No. 133. Any gain or loss on the hedges is recorded in OCI and reclassified to interest expense and included in earnings during the periods in which the hedged interest payments occur. The contractual components of the interest rate swaps accounted for as cash flow hedges are as follows:

		Notiona	ıl Amount	Fixed Rate	Variable Rate
Debt	Maturity Date	(Millions	of Dollars)	Paid	Received
Amortizing variable rate loans - Lakewood	2008	\$	15	6.68%	LIBOR

Con Edison Development's swaps were reclassified at December 31, 2007 to Current Liabilities Held for Sale as a result of the pending sale of Con Edison Development generating assets. See Note N.

O&R Interest Rate Swap

O&R has an interest rate swap related to its Series 1994A Debt. See Note C. At December 31, 2007, the swap was designated as a cash flow hedge, the fair value of which was an unrealized loss of \$11 million that was recorded in OCI. In February 2008, the swap counterparty changed the method of calculating its payments under the swap and, as a result, the swap no longer qualified as a hedge under SFAS No. 133. In accordance with O&R's April 2008 electric rate Joint Proposal (see Note B), O&R is to defer as a regulatory asset or liability the difference between its actual interest and swap costs relating to its tax-exempt debt and the amount for such costs reflected in rates. Similar treatment is expected in O&R's other services. The fair value of this interest rate swap at March 31, 2008 was an unrealized loss of \$13 million, which has been deferred as a regulatory asset.

Note L – Fair Value Measurements

Effective January 1, 2008, the Companies adopted FASB Statement No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" (SFAS No. 157). This Statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands the disclosures about fair value measurements.

SFAS No. 157 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date in a principal or most advantageous market. Fair value is a market-based measurement that is determined based on inputs, which refer broadly to assumptions that market participants use in pricing assets or liabilities. These inputs can be readily observable, market corroborated, or generally unobservable firm inputs. The Companies often make certain assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) — CONTINUED

or liability, including assumptions about risk, and the risks inherent in the inputs to valuation techniques. The Companies use valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs.

SFAS No. 157 established a fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value in three broad levels. The standard requires that assets and liabilities be classified in their entirety based on the level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Assessing the significance of a particular input may require judgment considering factors specific to the asset or liability, and may affect the valuation of the asset or liability and their placement within the fair value hierarchy. The Companies classify fair value balances based on the fair value hierarchy defined by SFAS No. 157 as follows:

- Level 1 Consists of assets or liabilities whose value is based on unadjusted quoted prices in active markets at the measurement date. An active market is one in which transactions for assets or liabilities occur with sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis. This category includes contracts traded on active exchange markets valued using unadjusted prices quoted directly from the exchange.
- Level 2 Consists of assets or liabilities valued using industry standard models and based on prices, other than quoted prices within Level 1, that are either directly or indirectly observable as of the measurement date.
 - The industry standard models consider observable assumptions including time value, volatility factors, and current market and contractual prices for the underlying commodities, in addition to other economic measures. This category includes contracts traded on active exchanges or in over-the-counter markets priced with industry standard models.
- Level 3 Consists of assets or liabilities whose fair value is estimated based on internally developed models or methodologies using inputs that are generally less readily observable and supported by little, if any, market activity at the measurement date. Unobservable inputs are developed based on the best available information and subject to cost benefit constraints. This category includes contracts priced using models that are internally developed and contracts placed in illiquid markets. It also includes contracts that expire after the period of time for which quoted prices are available and internal models are used to determine a significant portion of the value.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) — CONTINUED

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2008 are summarized below:

													I	lettin	g					
		Lev	el 1			Lev	el 2			Lev	el 3		Adjus	tmer	its (4)		То	tal	
			С	Con			C	Con			(Con			Con	1			C	Con
			Ed	ison			Ed	ison			Ea	lison			Edisc	on			Ed	lison
			(of				of				of			of					of
	C	Con	N	ew	(Con	N	lew	(Con	Λ	Iew	Con		New	7	(Con	N	Iew
(Millions of Dollars)	Ed	ison	Y	ork	Εc	lison	Y	ork	Εc	dison	Y	ork	Edisoi	1	York		Εc	lison	Y	ork
Derivative assets:																				
Energy (1)	\$	10	\$	7	\$	270	\$	92	\$	163	\$	16	\$ (160)) :	§ (1	l3)	\$	283	\$	102
Financial & other		—		—		_		—		_		_	_	-	-	_		—		_
Other assets (3)		14		14						102		91	_	_	-	_		116		105
Total	\$	24	\$	21	\$	270	\$	92	\$	265	\$	107	\$ (160)) :	\$ (1	l3)	\$	399	\$	207
Derivative liabilities:																				
Energy (1)	\$	1	\$	_	\$	112	\$	24	\$	137	\$	6	\$ (15	5) 5	\$ (2	25)	\$	95	\$	5
Financial & other (2)		_		_		_		_		14		_	_	-	-	_		14		_
Other liabilities		_		_		_		_		_		_	_	-	-	_		—		_
Total	\$	1	\$		\$	112	\$	24	\$	151	\$	6	\$ (15	5)	\$ (2	25)	\$	109	\$	5

The table listed below provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending net balances for assets and liabilities measured at fair value and classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy:

	Вес	ginning			al Gains/(Loss ized and Unred				
		nce as of	Incl	uded in	Include	ed in Regulatory	rchases,	Transfer	ng Balance
(Millions of Dollars)		uary 1, 2008	Ear	rnings	Assets	and Liabilities	nces, Sales Settlements	In/Out of Level 3	March 31, 2008
Con Edison									
Derivatives:									
Energy	\$	23	\$	(45)	\$	72	\$ (24)	\$ —	\$ 26
Financial & other		(11)		_		(3)	_		(14)
Other		107		(2)		(3)	_	_	102
Total	\$	119	\$	(47)	\$	66	\$ (24)	\$ —	\$ 114
Con Edison of New York									
Derivatives:									
Energy	\$	11	\$	(15)	\$	34	\$ (20)	\$ —	\$ 10
Financial & other		_		_		_	_		_
Other		95		(1)		(3)	_	_	91
Total	\$	106	\$	(16)	\$	31	\$ (20)	\$ —	\$ 101

Includes interest rate swaps and credit default swaps. See Note K.

Other assets are comprised of assets such as life insurance contracts within the Deferred Income Plan and Supplemental Retirement Income Plans, held in rabbi trusts.

Amounts represent the impact of legally-enforceable master netting agreements that allow the Companies to net gain and loss positions and cash collateral held or placed with the same counterparties.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) — CONTINUED

For the Utilities, realized gains and losses on Level 3 energy derivative assets and liabilities are reported as part of purchased power and gas costs. The Utilities generally recover these costs in accordance with rate provisions approved by the applicable state public utilities commissions. Reference is made to Note A to the financial statements in Item 8 of the Form 10-K. Unrealized gains and losses for energy derivatives are generally deferred on the consolidated balance sheet in accordance with SFAS No. 71.

For the competitive energy businesses, realized and unrealized gains and losses on Level 3 energy derivative assets and liabilities are reported in non-utility revenues (\$31 million loss) and purchased power costs (\$1 million gain) on the consolidated income statement. The change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets still held at March 31, 2008, included in non-utility revenues and purchased power costs, is \$(30) million and \$1 million, respectively.

For the Utilities, realized and unrealized gains and losses on Level 3 other assets of \$(2) million are reported in investment and other income on the consolidated income statement.

SFAS No. 157 provides for limited retrospective application for day one gains and losses previously deferred under Emerging Issues Task Force 02-3 (EITF 02-3), "Issues involved in Accounting for Derivative Contracts Held for Trading Purposes and Contracts involved in Energy Trading and Risk Management Activities." SFAS No. 157 eliminated the deferral of gains and losses at inception of certain derivative contracts whose fair value was not evidenced by market observable data. The standard requires that the impact of this change in accounting for derivative contracts be recorded as an adjustment to beginning retained earnings in the period of adoption. As a result, Con Edison Energy recorded \$17 million, net of taxes, as an increase to beginning retained earnings for day one gains that were previously deferred under EITF 02-3.

Pursuant to FSP No. FAS 157-2, "Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157", the Companies will delay the adoption of SFAS No. 157 for its nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are recognized on a nonrecurring basis, including goodwill and intangibles and asset retirement obligations. See Note M.

Note M - New Financial Accounting Standards

Reference is made to Note S to the financial statements in Item 8 of the Form 10-K.

In March 2008, the FASB issued Statement No. 161, "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." This Statement amends and expands the disclosure requirements of Statement 133 with the intent to provide users of financial statements with enhanced disclosures about (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under Statement 133 and its related interpretations, and (c) how derivative

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) — CONTINUED

instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. The Statement requires qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about fair value amounts of and gains and losses on derivative instruments and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative agreements. This Statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The Companies are currently evaluating the impact of this Statement on their disclosures of financial position, results of operations and liquidity.

In February 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. 157-1, "Application of FASB Statement No. 157 to FASB Statement No. 13 and Other Accounting Pronouncements that Address Fair Value Measurements for Purposes of Lease Classification or Measurement under Statement 13," and FSP No. FAS 157-2, "Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157." FSP No. 157-1 amends FASB Statement No. 157 to exclude FASB Statement No. 13, "Accounting for Leases," and other accounting pronouncements that address fair value measurements for purposes of lease classification and measurement under Statement No. 13. This FSP is effective upon the initial adoption of SFAS 157. FSP No. FAS 157-2 delays the effective date of SFAS 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except for items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually) to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years for items within the scope of this FSP. The adoption of both FSP No. 157-1 and FSP No. FAS 157-2 did not have a material impact on the Companies' financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

In January 2008, the FASB issued Statement 133 Implementation Issue No. E23, "Hedging – General: Issues Involving the Application of the Shortcut Method under Paragraph 68." Issue E23 amends paragraph 68 of SFAS 133 with respect to the conditions that must be met in order to apply the shortcut method for assessing hedge effectiveness. The implementation guidance in this Issue is effective for hedging relationships designated on or after January 1, 2008. The adoption of Issue E23 did not have a material impact on the Companies' financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Note N – Con Edison Development

Reference is made to Note U to the financial statements in Item 8 of the Form 10-K.

In December 2007, Con Edison Development and its subsidiary, CED/SCS Newington, LLC, agreed to sell their ownership interests in power generating projects (Rock Springs, Ocean Peaking Power, CEEMI, Newington and Lakewood) with an aggregate capacity of approximately 1,706 megawatts to North American Energy Alliance, LLC for approximately \$1.5 billion in cash, subject to closing adjustments. The sale is subject to review or approval by various federal, state and local regulators. The sale is scheduled to be completed in two stages during the first half of 2008. Con Edison estimates that

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) — CONTINUED

the cash proceeds from the sale, net of taxes and transaction expenses would be approximately \$1.1 billion and that the sale would result in an after-tax gain, net of transaction expenses, of approximately \$408 million. These estimates assume that project debt of approximately \$323 million will not be repaid upon completion of the sale, and that instead Con Edison's unsecured debt will be exchanged for the project debt.

At December 31, 2007, the assets and liabilities of the generating projects were classified as "held for sale" on Con Edison's consolidated balance sheet in accordance with SFAS No. 144. Effective November 15, 2007, Con Edison ceased recording depreciation and amortization expense on these assets. Had the company continued to record depreciation and amortization, an additional charge of \$9 million would have been recognized for the period ended March 31, 2008. In accordance with EITF Issue No. 87-24, "Allocation of Interest to Discontinued Operations," which permits, but does not require the allocation of other consolidated interest to discontinued operations, Con Edison has chosen not to allocate interest associated with corporate level debt to discontinued operations. The assets and liabilities presented separately under the captions "held for sale" in Con Edison's consolidated balance sheet at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, consist of the following:

(Millions of Dollars)	2008	2007
Non-utility property	\$ 929	\$ 929
Accumulated depreciation	(151)	(151)
Non-utility property held for sale	\$ 778	\$ 778
Cash	\$ 14	\$ 9
Restricted cash	9	5
Accounts receivable	4	5
Inventory	17	16
Other current assets	4	5
Current assets held for sale	\$ 48	\$ 40
Intangible assets	\$ 90	\$ 70
Other noncurrent assets	18	18
Noncurrent assets held for sale	\$ 108	\$ 88
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 20	\$ 20
Accounts payable	1	3
Other current liabilities	7	5
Current liabilities held for sale	\$ 28	\$ 28
Long term debt	\$ 60	\$ 60
Other noncurrent liabilities	1	1
Noncurrent liabilities held for sale	\$ 61	\$ 61

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) — CONTINUED

Con Edison currently expects that one of its competitive energy businesses will provide energy management services, such as plant scheduling and fuel procurement, for the Rock Springs, Ocean Peaking Power and CEEMI projects. Such services are expected to give rise to a significant level of continuing direct cash flows between Con Edison and the disposed projects, and to provide Con Edison with significant continuing involvement with the operations of the disposed projects. As a result, under the guidance of EITF Issue No. 03-13, "Applying the Conditions in Paragraph 42 of FASB Statement No. 144 in Determining Whether to Report Discontinued Operations" (EITF No. 03-13), Con Edison has concluded that the Rock Springs, Ocean Peaking Power and CEEMI projects do not qualify for discontinued operations. Accordingly, the results of operations of these projects have been reported within continuing operations in the accompanying Con Edison consolidated income statement.

Con Edison also expects that one of its competitive energy businesses will engage in certain services for the Newington and Lakewood projects after the sale. However, such services are expected to be much more limited than those provided to the Rock Springs, Ocean Peaking Power and CEEMI projects, and are not expected to give rise to a significant level of continuing direct cash flows between Con Edison and the disposed projects, or to provide Con Edison with significant continuing involvement in the operating or financial policies of the disposed projects. As a result, Con Edison believes that the criteria within SFAS No. 144 and EITF No. 03-13 for discontinued operations treatment have been met for the Newington and Lakewood projects. Accordingly, the results of operations of these projects have been reflected in Income/(Loss) from Discontinued Operations (Net of Income Taxes) in the accompanying Con Edison consolidated income statement. The Newington and Lakewood projects had revenues of \$85 million and \$69 million and pre-tax profit (loss) of \$4 million and \$(5) million for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (COMBINED FOR CON EDISON AND CON EDISON OF NEW YORK)

This combined management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations (MD&A) relates to the consolidated financial statements (the First Quarter Financial Statements) included in this report of two separate registrants: Consolidated Edison, Inc. (Con Edison) and Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (Con Edison of New York) and should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and the notes thereto. As used in this report, the term the "Companies" refers to Con Edison and Con Edison of New York. Con Edison of New York is a subsidiary of Con Edison and, as such, information in this MD&A about Con Edison of New York applies to Con Edison.

This MD&A should be read in conjunction with the First Quarter Financial Statements and the notes thereto and the MD&A in Item 7 of the Companies' combined Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 (File Nos. 1-14514 and 1-1217, the Form 10-K).

Information in the notes to the consolidated financial statements referred to in this discussion and analysis is incorporated by reference herein. The use of terms such as "see" or "refer to" shall be deemed to incorporate by reference into this discussion and analysis the information to which reference is made.

Corporate Overview

Con Edison's principal business operations are those of its utility companies, Con Edison of New York and Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. (O&R), together known as the "Utilities." Con Edison also has competitive energy businesses (see "Competitive Energy Businesses," below). Certain financial data of Con Edison's businesses is presented below:

	1	Three months ended March 31, 2008				ch 31, 18
(Millions of Dollars)	Reve	nues	Net I	ncome	Asse	ets
Con Edison of New York	\$2,741	77%	\$219	72 %	\$24,792	86%
O&R	263	7%	12	4 %	1,840	7%
Total Utilities	3,004	84%	231	76 %	26,632	93%
Con Edison Development (a)	44	1%	20	7 %	380	1%
Con Edison Energy (a)	170	5%	(5)	(1)%	198	1%
Con Edison Solutions (a)	361	10%	25	8 %	217	1%
Other (b)	(2)	%	29	10 %	304	1%
Total continuing operations	\$3,577	100%	\$300	100 %	27,731	97%
Discontinued operations/held for sale (c)	N/A	N/A	3	- %	934	3%
Total Con Edison	\$3,577	100%	\$303	100 %	\$28,665	100%

⁽a) Net income from continuing operations of the competitive energy businesses for the three months ended March 31, 2008 includes \$33 million of net after-tax mark-to-market gains/(losses) (Con Edison Development, \$16 million, Con Edison Energy \$(12) million and Con Edison Solutions, \$29 million).

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (COMBINED FOR CON EDISON AND CON EDISON OF NEW YORK) — CONTINUED

- (b) Represents inter-company and parent company accounting. Includes \$30 million of after-tax net income related to the resolution of the Company's legal proceeding with Northeast Utilities. See "Results of Operations," below and Note H to the First Quarter Financial Statements.
- (c) Represents the discontinued operations of Con Edison Development

Con Edison's net income for common stock for the three months ended March 31, 2008 was \$303 million or \$1.11 a share compared with earnings of \$256 million or \$0.99 a share for the three months ended March 31, 2007. See "Results of Operations – Summary," below.

Regulated Utilities

Con Edison of New York provides electric service to approximately 3.2 million customers and gas service to approximately 1.1 million customers in New York City and Westchester County. The company also provides steam service in parts of Manhattan. O&R, along with its regulated utility businesses, provides electric service to approximately 0.3 million customers in southeastern New York and adjacent areas of northern New Jersey and eastern Pennsylvania and gas service to over 0.1 million customers in southeastern New York and adjacent areas of eastern Pennsylvania.

The Utilities are primarily "wires and pipes" energy delivery businesses that deliver energy in their service areas subject to extensive federal and state regulation. The Utilities' customers buy this energy from the Utilities, or from other suppliers through the Utilities' retail access programs. The Utilities purchase substantially all of the energy they sell to customers pursuant to firm contracts or through wholesale energy markets, and recover (generally on a current basis) the cost of the energy sold, pursuant to approved rate plans.

Con Edison anticipates that the Utilities will continue to provide substantially all of its earnings over the next few years. The Utilities' earnings will depend on various factors including demand for utility service and the Utilities' ability to charge rates for their services that reflect the costs of service, including a return on invested equity capital. The factors affecting demand for utility service include growth of customer demand, weather, market prices for energy, economic conditions and measures that promote energy efficiency. Demand for electric service peaks during the summer air conditioning season. Demand for gas and steam service peaks during the winter heating season.

Because the energy delivery infrastructure must be adequate to meet demand in peak periods with a high level of reliability, the Utilities' capital investment plans reflect in great part past actual electric peak demand adjusted to summer design weather conditions, as well as forecast growth in peak usage. The weather during the summer of 2007 was cooler than design conditions. The highest peak electric demand reached in 2007 was 12,807 MW for Con Edison of New York on August 8, 2007 and 1,474

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (COMBINED FOR CON EDISON AND CON EDISON OF NEW YORK) — CONTINUED

MW for O&R on July 10, 2007. The Utilities estimate that, under design weather conditions, the 2008 peak electric demand in their respective service areas will be 13,775 MW for Con Edison of New York and 1,645 MW for O&R. The Con Edison of New York forecasted peak demand includes the impact of permanent demand reduction programs. The average annual growth rate of the peak electric demand over the next five years at design conditions is estimated to be approximately 1.2 percent for Con Edison of New York and 2.5 percent for O&R. The Companies anticipate an ongoing need for substantial capital investment in order to meet this growth in peak usage with the high level of reliability that they currently provide (see "Liquidity and Capital Resources – Capital Requirements," below).

The Utilities have rate plans approved by state utility regulators that cover the rates they can charge their customers. Con Edison of New York's electric, gas and steam rate plans are effective through March 31, 2009, September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2008, respectively. In March 2008, the New York State Public Service Commission (PSC) adopted an order, issued and effective March 25, 2008, granting Con Edison of New York an electric rate increase, effective April 1, 2008. In May 2008, Con Edison of New York expects to file a request for a new electric rate plan to be effective April 1, 2009. In November 2007, Con Edison of New York filed a request for a new steam rate plan, to be effective October 1, 2008. O&R's rate plans for its electric and gas service in New York and its subsidiary's electric service in New Jersey extend through June 30, 2008, October 31, 2009 and March 31, 2010, respectively. In April 2008, O&R entered into a Joint Proposal, which is subject to PSC approval, with the PSC Staff and other parties with respect to the rates O&R can charge its New York customers for electric service from July 2008 through June 2011. Pursuant to the Utilities' rate plans, charges to customers generally may not be changed during the respective terms of the rate plans other than for recovery of the costs incurred for energy supply, for specified increases provided in the rate plans and for limited other exceptions. The New York rate plans for Con Edison of New York's gas and steam operations as well as O&R's gas operations generally require the Utilities to share with customers, earnings in excess of specified rates of return on common equity capital. Under the revenue decoupling mechanisms in Con Edison of New York's current electric and gas rate plans and O&R's electric rate Joint Proposal, the Utilities operating income will generally not be affected by changes in delivery volumes from levels assumed when rates were approved.

See "Regulatory Matters" below and Note B to the First Quarter Financial Statements.

Accounting rules and regulations for public utilities include Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 71, "Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation," pursuant to

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (COMBINED FOR CON EDISON AND CON EDISON OF NEW YORK) — CONTINUED

which the economic effects of rate regulation are reflected in financial statements. See "Application of Critical Accounting Policies," below.

Competitive Energy Businesses

Con Edison's competitive energy businesses participate in segments of the electricity industry that are less comprehensively regulated than the Utilities. These segments include the operation of electric generation facilities, trading of electricity and fuel, sales of electricity to wholesale and retail customers and sales of certain energy-related goods and services. At March 31, 2008, Con Edison's equity investment in its competitive energy businesses was \$662 million and their assets, including those held for sale (see below) amounted to \$1.7 billion.

Consolidated Edison Solutions, Inc. (Con Edison Solutions) sells electricity directly to delivery-service customers of utilities primarily in the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic regions (including some of the Utilities' customers) and also offers energy-related services. Con Edison Solutions does not sell electricity to the Utilities. The company sold approximately 2.7 million MWHs of electricity to customers over the three-month period ended March 31, 2008.

Consolidated Edison Development, Inc. (Con Edison Development) owns, leases or operates generating plants and participates in other infrastructure projects. At March 31, 2008, the company owned or leased the equivalent of 1,742 MWs of capacity in electric generating facilities of which 203 MWs are sold under long-term purchase power agreements and the balance is sold on the wholesale electricity markets. In addition, the company sells electricity at wholesale to utilities. In December 2007, Con Edison Development and its subsidiary, CED/SCS Newington, LLC, agreed to sell their ownership interests in power generating projects with an aggregate capacity of approximately 1,706 MW. See Note N to the First Quarter Financial Statements.

Consolidated Edison Energy, Inc. (Con Edison Energy) procures electric energy and capacity for Con Edison Solutions and fuel for Con Edison Development and others. It sells the electric capacity and energy produced by plants owned, leased or operated by Con Edison Development and others. The company also provides energy risk management services to Con Edison Solutions and Con Edison Development, offers these services to others and enters into wholesale supply transactions.

The competitive energy businesses are focusing on increasing their customer base and gross margins and completing the sale of the generating projects discussed above. See "Liquidity and Capital Resources – Capital Requirements" and "Capital Resources," below.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (COMBINED FOR CON EDISON AND CON EDISON OF **NEW YORK)** — CONTINUED

Discontinued Operations

In December 2007, Con Edison Development and its subsidiary, CED/SCS Newington, LLC, agreed to sell their ownership interests in power generating projects with an aggregate capacity of approximately 1,706 MW. See Note N to the First Quarter Financial Statements.

Results of Operations—Summary

Con Edison's earnings per share for the three months ended March 31, 2008 were \$1.11 (basic and diluted) compared with \$0.99 (basic and diluted) for the 2007 period.

Net income for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 was as follows:

(Millions of Dollars)	2	2008	2007
Con Edison of New York	\$	219	\$ 236
O&R		12	19
Competitive energy businesses (a)		40	8
Other (b)		29	(5)
Total continuing operations		300	258
Discontinued operations (c)		3	(2)
CON EDISON	\$	303	\$ 256

Represents the discontinued operations of certain of Con Edison Development's generation projects. See Note U to the financial statements in Item 8 of the Form 10-K and Note N to the First Quarter Financial Statements.

Includes \$33 million of net after-tax mark-to-market gains in 2008 and \$(5) million of net after-tax mark-to-market losses in 2007.

Other consists of inter-company and parent company accounting. Includes \$30 million of after-tax net income related to the resolution of the Company's legal proceeding with Northeast Utilities. See "Results of Operations," below and Note H to the First Quarter Financial Statements.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (COMBINED FOR CON EDISON AND CON EDISON OF NEW YORK) — CONTINUED

The Companies' results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2008, compared with the 2007 period, reflect sales growth, the Utilities' rate plans (which are designed to recover increases in certain operations and maintenance expenses, depreciation and property taxes, and interest charges), the impact of weather on first quarter revenues, the results of the competitive energy businesses including net mark-to-market effects, an additional reserve related to the Queens power outage (see Note B to the First Quarter Financial Statements), and the resolution of litigation with Northeast Utilities (see Note H to the First Quarter Financial Statements). The following table presents the estimated effect on earnings per share and net income for the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period, resulting from these and other major factors:

		Variations		
	Earnings per Share		Net Income ions of Dollars)	
Con Edison of New York				
Sales growth	\$ 0.03	\$	9	
Impact of weather	(0.03)		(7)	
Electric rate plan	0.09		23	
Gas rate plan	0.04		9	
Steam rate plan	0.01		3	
Operations and maintenance expense	(0.06)		(15)	
Queens power outage reserve	(0.05)		(14)	
Depreciation and property taxes	(0.07)		(18)	
Other (includes dilutive effect of new stock issuances)	(0.06)		(6)	
Total Con Edison of New York	(0.10)	\$	(16)	
Orange and Rockland Utilities	(0.03)		(7)	
Competitive energy businesses				
Earnings excluding net mark-to-market effects	(0.03)		(6)	
Net mark-to-market effects (a)	0.14		38	
Northeast Utilities litigation settlement	0.11		30	
Other, including parent company expenses	0.01		3	
Discontinued operations	0.02		5	
Total variation	\$ 0.12	\$	47	

⁽a) These variations reflect net after-tax net mark-to-market gains of \$33 million or \$0.12 a share in the first quarter of 2008 and net after-tax mark-to-market losses of \$(5) million or \$(0.02) a share in the first quarter of 2007.

See "Results of Operations" below for further discussion and analysis of results of operations.

Risk Factors

The Companies' businesses are influenced by many factors that are difficult to predict, and that involve uncertainties that may materially affect actual operating results, cash flows and financial condition. The factors include those described under "Risk Factors" in Item 7 of the Form 10-K.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (COMBINED FOR CON EDISON AND CON EDISON OF NEW YORK) — CONTINUED

Forward-Looking Statements

This report includes forward-looking statements intended to qualify for the safe-harbor provisions of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Forward-looking statements are statements of future expectation and not facts. Words such as "expects," "estimates," "anticipates," "intends," "believes," "plans," "will" and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are based on information available at the time the statements are made, and accordingly speak only as of that time. Actual results or developments might differ materially from those included in the forward-looking statements because of various factors such as those discussed under "Risk Factors," in Item 7 of the Form 10-K.

Application of Critical Accounting Policies

The Companies' financial statements reflect the application of their accounting policies, which conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The Companies' critical accounting policies include industry-specific accounting applicable to regulated public utilities and accounting for pensions and other postretirement benefits, contingencies, long-lived assets, derivative instruments, goodwill and leases. See "Application of Critical Accounting Policies" in Item 7 of the Form 10-K.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Companies' liquidity reflects cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities, as shown on their respective consolidated statement of cash flows and as discussed below. See "Liquidity and Capital Resources" in Item 7 of the Form 10-K. Changes in the Companies' cash and temporary cash investments resulting from operating, investing and financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 are summarized as follows:

		Con Edisc	on	Con Edison of New York				
(Millions of Dollars)	2008	2007	Variance	2008	2007	Var	iance	
Operating activities	\$ 560	\$ 478	\$ 82	\$ 357	\$ 302	\$	55	
Investing activities	(569)	(458)	(111)	(479)	(432)		(47)	
Financing activities	(52)	43	(95)	41	127		(86)	
Net change	(61)	63	(124)	(81)	(3)		(78)	
Balance at beginning of period	219	94	125	121	47		74	
Balance at end of period	\$ 158	\$ 157	\$ 1	\$ 40	\$ 44	\$	(4)	

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (COMBINED FOR CON EDISON AND CON EDISON OF NEW YORK) — CONTINUED

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

The Utilities' cash flows from operating activities reflect principally their energy sales and deliveries and cost of operations. The volume of energy sales and deliveries is dependent primarily on factors external to the Utilities, growth of customer demand, weather, market prices for energy, economic conditions and measures that promote energy efficiency. The prices at which the Utilities provide energy to their customers are determined in accordance with their rate agreements. In general, changes in the Utilities' cost of purchased power, fuel and gas may affect the timing of cash flows but not net income because the costs are recovered in accordance with rate agreements. See "Recoverable Energy Costs" in Note A to the financial statements in Item 8 of the Form 10-K.

Net income is the result of cash and non-cash (or accrual) transactions. Only cash transactions affect the Companies' cash flows from operating activities. Principal non-cash charges include depreciation and deferred income tax expense. Principal non-cash credits include the revenue requirement impact resulting from the reconciliation pursuant to Con Edison of New York's 2005 electric rate agreement of the differences between the actual amount of transmission and distribution utility plant, net of depreciation to the amounts reflected in electric rates (Net T&D Revenues) and amortizations of certain net regulatory liabilities. Non-cash charges or credits may also be accrued under the revenue decoupling mechanisms in Con Edison of New York's current electric and gas rate plans and O&R's electric rate Joint Proposal. See "Rate Agreements – Con Edison of New York – Electric and O&R – Electric in Note B to the First Quarter Financial Statements."

Net cash flows from operating activities for the three months ended March 31, 2008 for Con Edison and Con Edison of New York were \$82 million and \$55 million higher, respectively, than in the 2007 period. The increase for Con Edison primarily reflects the income from the resolution of the Company's legal proceeding with Northeast Utilities (\$30 million after-tax) and higher deferred income taxes. The increase for Con Edison of New York primarily reflects increased depreciation expense and deferred income taxes, offset in part by lower net income.

The change in net cash flows also reflects the timing of payments for and recovery of energy costs. This timing issue is reflected within changes to accounts receivable – customers, recoverable energy costs and accounts payable balances.

Cash Flows Used in Investing Activities

Net cash flows used in investing activities for Con Edison and Con Edison of New York were \$111 million and \$47 million higher, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2008 than in the

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (COMBINED FOR CON EDISON AND CON EDISON OF NEW YORK) — CONTINUED

2007 period. The increases for the Companies reflect primarily increased utility construction expenditures, offset in part by proceeds from the sale of certain properties in 2007.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

Net cash flows from financing activities for Con Edison and Con Edison of New York decreased \$95 million and \$86 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period.

Cash flows from financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 reflect the issuance of Con Edison common shares through its dividend reinvestment and employee stock plans (2008: 480,707 shares for \$12 million, 2007: 1.3 million shares for \$54 million). In addition, as a result of the stock plan issuances, cash used to pay common stock dividends was reduced by \$11 million in 2008 and \$10 million in 2007.

Con Edison's net cash flows from financing activities also include O&R's financings. In 2007, O&R's New Jersey subsidiary redeemed at maturity \$20 million 7.125% First Mortgage Bonds.

In February 2008, Con Edison of New York redeemed at maturity \$180 million 6.25% 10-year debentures due February 2008.

In April 2008, Con Edison of New York issued \$600 million 5.85% 10-year debentures and \$600 million 6.75% 30-year debentures, the proceeds of which were used to repay short-term borrowings and for other general corporate purposes.

Common stock issuances and external borrowings are sources of liquidity that could be affected by changes in credit ratings, financial performance and capital market conditions. For information about the Companies' credit ratings and certain financial ratios, see "Capital Resources," below.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (COMBINED FOR CON EDISON AND CON EDISON OF NEW YORK) — CONTINUED

Other Changes in Assets and Liabilities

The following table shows changes in assets and liabilities at March 31, 2008, compared with December 31, 2007, that have not impacted the Companies' consolidated statements of cash flows.

(Millions of Dollars)	Con Edison 2008 vs. 2007 Variance	Con Edison of New York 2008 vs. 2007 Variance
Assets		
Fair value of derivative assets	144	38
<u>Liabilities</u>		
Deferred derivative gains	128	97

Fair Value of Derivative Assets and Deferred Derivative Gains

Fair value of derivative assets increased \$144 million and \$38 million for Con Edison and Con Edison of New York, respectively, at March 31, 2008 compared with December 31, 2007, due primarily to the impact of increasing electric and gas commodity prices on the hedging portfolios of the utilities and competitive energy businesses and the timing of entering into new positions, offset in part by the maturity of certain contract positions and cash collateral received.

Deferred derivative gains increased \$128 million and \$97 million for Con Edison and Con Edison of New York, respectively, at March 31, 2008 compared with December 31, 2007, due primarily to the impact of increasing electric and gas commodity prices on the hedging portfolios of the Utilities and the timing of entering into new positions, offset in part, by the maturity of certain contract positions.

For the Utilities, mark-to-market activity had no effect on net income as the amounts were deferred as regulatory assets/liabilities (deferred derivative losses/gains). In accordance with provisions approved by state regulators, the Utilities generally recover from customers their energy supply costs, including gains and losses on derivative instruments used to hedge energy purchases. The mark-to-market accounting for Con Edison's competitive energy businesses resulted in a net increase in the fair value of derivative assets and liabilities. The competitive energy businesses record mark-to-market gains and losses on derivative instruments in earnings in the reporting period in which such changes occur. See Note K to the First Quarter Financial Statements. For the Companies, changes in fair value of derivative instruments may lead to collateral payments made to or received from counterparties or brokers that are reflected in the fair value of derivative assets and liabilities.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (COMBINED FOR CON EDISON AND CON EDISON OF NEW YORK) — CONTINUED

Capital Resources

At March 31, 2008, there was no material change in the Companies' capital resources compared to those disclosed under "Capital Resources" in Item 7 of the Form 10-K other than as described below. Also, see Notes C and D to the First Quarter Financial Statements.

For each of the Companies, the ratio of earnings to fixed charges (Securities and Exchange Commission basis) for the three months ended March 31, 2008, the 12 months ended December 31, 2007 and the three months ended March 31, 2007 was:

Earnings to Fixed Charges (T	imesi
------------------------------	-------

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2008	For the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2007
Con Edison	4.3	3.4	3.8
Con Edison of New York	3.7	3.6	4.0

For each of the Companies, the common equity ratio at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 was:

Common Equity Ratio (Percent of total capitalization)

	March 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
Con Edison	53.4	53.7
Con Edison of New York	52.6	52.3

In March 2008, Moody's and S&P affirmed and Fitch lowered their ratings of the Companies' commercial paper. The commercial paper of the Companies is rated P-1, A-2 and F2, respectively, by Moody's, S&P and Fitch. In March 2008, Moody's affirmed and S&P and Fitch lowered their ratings of the Companies' unsecured debt. Con Edison's unsecured debt is rated A2, BBB+ and BBB+, respectively, by Moody's, S&P and Fitch. The unsecured debt of Con Edison of New York is rated A1, A- and A-, respectively, by Moody's, S&P and Fitch. The unsecured debt of O&R is rated A2, A- and A, respectively, by Moody's, S&P and Fitch. Securities ratings assigned by rating organizations are expressions of opinion and are not recommendations to buy, sell or hold securities. A securities rating is subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the assigning rating organization. Each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating.

Con Edison of New York has \$636 million of tax-exempt debt for which the interest rates are determined pursuant to periodic auctions. Of this amount, \$391 million is insured by Ambac Assurance Corporation and \$245 million is insured by XL Capital Assurance Inc. Credit rating agencies have downgraded the ratings of these insurers from AAA to lower levels. The company

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (COMBINED FOR CON EDISON AND CON EDISON OF NEW YORK) — CONTINUED

believes that the interest rates on its insured tax-exempt debt have been adversely impacted by the downgrade. The weighted average annual interest rate on this tax-exempt debt was 4.15 percent for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The weighted average interest rate was 3.77 percent, 3.45 percent and 2.44 percent for the years 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

O&R has \$99 million of tax-exempt debt that currently bears interest at rates determined weekly and is subject to tender by bondholders for purchase by the company. Of this amount, \$55 million is insured by Financial Guaranty Insurance Company and \$44 million is insured by Ambac Assurance Corporation (see Note C and Note D to the First Quarter Financial Statements). Recent downgrades in the credit ratings of these insurers have resulted in interest rates on this O&R debt that are significantly higher than the interest rates borne by Con Edison of New York's \$225 million of uninsured weekly rate tender bonds. For the three months ended March 31, 2008, the weighted average annual interest rate on the O&R insured weekly rate tender bonds, excluding the effects of an interest rate swap agreement (see "O&R Interest Rate Swap" in Note K to the First Quarter Financial Statements), was 4.35 percent and the rate on the Con Edison of New York weekly rate tender bonds was 2.40 percent. O&R is evaluating alternatives with respect to its tax-exempt debt, which could include redemption of the debt and termination of the interest rate swap agreement.

Capital Requirements

At March 31, 2008, there was no material change in the Companies' capital requirements compared to those discussed under "Capital Requirements" in Item 7 of the Form 10-K.

The Utilities have an ongoing need for substantial capital investment in order to meet the growth in demand for electricity and electric, gas and steam reliability needs. Con Edison of New York's estimated construction expenditures for 2008 have been revised to \$2,354 million to reflect the outcome of the PSC electric rate order for Con Edison of New York, effective April 1, 2008. See Note B to the First Quarter Financial Statements.

Contractual Obligations

At March 31, 2008, there were no material changes in the Companies' aggregate obligation to make payments pursuant to contracts compared to those discussed under "Contractual Obligations" in Item 7 of the Form 10-K.

Electric Power Requirements

At March 31, 2008, there were no material changes in the Companies' electric power requirements compared to those disclosed under "Electric Power Requirements" in Item 7 of the Form 10-K.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (COMBINED FOR CON EDISON AND CON EDISON OF NEW YORK) — CONTINUED

Regulatory Matters

At March 31, 2008, there were no material changes in the Companies' regulatory matters compared to those disclosed under "Regulatory Matters" in Item 7 of the Form 10-K and "Rate and Restructuring Agreements" in Note B to the First Quarter Financial Statements in Item 8 of the Form 10-K other than as described below and in Note B to the First Quarter Financial Statements.

The following table summarizes certain significant provisions of the new Con Edison of New York electric rate plan and the O&R electric rate Joint Proposal.

		Amortization			
		To Income			
		of Net	Other	Authorized	ROE Sharing Threshold
		Regulatory	Significant	Return on	Terms
	Rate	(Assets) and	Revenue	Equity	(Shareholders/
Effective Period	Increases	Liabilities	Sources	(ROE)	Customers)
		(mi	llions of dollars, ex	cept percentages)	_
April 2008 – March 2009	\$425*	\$50	\$150 of	9.1%	No sharing by customers
			annual		
			transmission		
			congestion		
			contracts		
			revenues		
O&R – Electric (NY)**					_
July 2008 – June 2011					
	Yr1 \$15.6		One time		
	Yr2 15.6		surcharge		10.2% - 11.2% - 50/50
	Yr3 5.7		Yr3 \$9.9	9.4%	>11.2% – 25/75

^{*} Collection of \$237 million is subject to potential refund following PSC review of certain capital expenditures.

Con Edison of New York expects to file a request in May 2008 for a new electric rate plan to be effective April 2009. The requested rate plan will reflect efforts by the company to mitigate the impact on customers of a rate increase. The rate increase will reflect additional infrastructure investments, higher operating costs and higher property taxes.

Financial and Commodity Market Risks

The Companies are subject to various risks and uncertainties associated with financial and commodity markets. The most significant market risks include interest rate risk, commodity price risk, credit risk and investment risk. At March 31, 2008, there were no material changes in the Companies' financial and commodity market risks compared to those discussed under "Financial and Commodity Market Risks" in Item 7 of the Form 10-K, other than as described below and in Note K to the First Quarter Financial Statements.

^{**} Subject to PSC approval.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (COMBINED FOR CON EDISON AND CON EDISON OF NEW YORK) — CONTINUED

Credit Risk

The Companies are exposed to credit risk related to transactions entered into primarily for the various energy supply and hedging activities by the Utilities and the competitive energy businesses. Credit risk relates to the loss that may result from a counterparty's nonperformance. The Companies use credit policies to manage this risk, including an established credit approval process, monitoring of counterparty limits, netting provisions within agreements and collateral or prepayment arrangements, credit insurance and credit default swaps. The Companies measure credit risk exposure as the replacement cost for open energy commodity and derivative positions plus amounts owed from counterparties for settled transactions. The replacement cost of open positions represents unrealized gains, net of any unrealized losses where the company has a legally enforceable right of setoff.

The Utilities had \$214 million of credit exposure in connection with energy supply and hedging activities, net of collateral and reserves, at March 31, 2008, of which \$149 million was with investment-grade counterparties and \$65 million was with commodity exchange brokers.

Con Edison's competitive energy businesses had \$144 million of credit exposure in connection with energy supply and hedging activities, net of collateral and reserves, at March 31, 2008, of which \$130 million was with investment grade counterparties and \$14 million was with commodity exchange brokers or independent system operators.

Material Contingencies

For information concerning potential liabilities arising from the Companies' material contingencies, see "Application of Critical Accounting Policies – Accounting for Contingencies," and Notes B, G, H, J and L to the First Quarter Financial Statements.

Results of Operations

Results of operations reflect, among other things, the Companies' accounting policies (see "Application of Critical Accounting Policies" in Item 7 of the Form 10-K), rate plans that cover the rates the Utilities can charge their customers (see "Regulatory Matters" in Item 7 of the Form 10-K) and demand for utility service. Demand for utility service is affected by weather, economic conditions and other factors.

The Companies' results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2008 reflect sales growth, the Utilities' rate plans (which are designed to recover increases in certain operations and maintenance expenses, depreciation and property taxes, and interest charges), the impact of weather on first quarter revenues, the results of the competitive energy businesses including net mark-to-market effects, an

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (COMBINED FOR CON EDISON AND CON EDISON OF NEW YORK) — CONTINUED

additional reserve related to the Queens power outage (see Note B to the First Quarter Financial Statement), and the resolution of litigation with Northeast Utilities (see Note H to the First Quarter Financial Statement). For additional information about major factors affecting earnings, see "Results of Operations – Summary," above.

In general, the Utilities recover on a current basis the fuel, gas purchased for resale and purchased power costs they incur in supplying energy to their full-service customers (see "Recoverable Energy Costs" in Note A and "Regulatory Matters" in Note B to the financial statements in Item 8 of the Form 10-K). Accordingly, such costs do not generally affect the Companies' results of operations. Management uses the term "net revenues" (operating revenues less such costs) to identify changes in operating revenues that may affect the Companies' results of operations. Management believes that, although "net revenues" may not be a measure determined in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the measure facilitates the analysis by management and investors of the Companies' results of operations.

Con Edison's principal business segments are Con Edison of New York's regulated electric, gas and steam utility activities, O&R's regulated electric and gas utility activities and Con Edison's competitive energy businesses. Con Edison of New York's principal business segments are its regulated electric, gas and steam utility activities. A discussion of the results of operations by principal business segment for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 follows. For additional business segment financial information, see Note J to the First Quarter Financial Statements.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (COMBINED FOR CON EDISON AND CON EDISON OF NEW YORK) — CONTINUED

THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2008 COMPARED WITH THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2007

The Companies' results of operations (which were discussed above under "Results of Operations – Summary") in 2008 compared with 2007 were:

	Con E	dison*	Con Edison	of New York	Ot	&R	Competitive Energy Businesses and Other**		
(Millions of Dollars)	Increases (Decreases) Amount	Increases (Decreases) Percent	Increases (Decreases) Amount	Increases (Decreases) Percent	Increases (Decreases) Amount	Increases (Decreases) Percent	Increases (Decreases) Amount	Increases (Decreases) Percent	
Operating revenues	\$ 220	6.6%		2.5%	\$ 6	2.3%	\$ 146	34.2%	
Purchased power	173	15.5	65	9.9	12	14.5	96	25.3	
Fuel	(18)	(8.2)	(15)	(7.0)	N/A	N/A	(3)	(50.0)	
Gas purchased for resale	(17)	(3.3)	(5)	(1.2)	(6)	(8.5)	(6)	(60.0)	
Operating revenues less purchased power,	· ·	` '	, ,	ì	ì	<u> </u>	, ,		
fuel and gas purchased for resale (net									
revenues)	82	5.4	23	1.7	_	_	59	Large	
Other operations and maintenance	47	9.6	31	7.2	9	19.6	7	58.3	
Depreciation and amortization	7	4.4	9	6.2	1	11.1	(3)	(75.0)	
Taxes, other than income taxes	21	6.4	20	6.4	2	20.0	(1)	(16.7)	
Income taxes	(7)	(4.5)	(24)	(17.6)	(5)	(41.7)	22	Large	
Operating income	14	3.7	(13)	(3.8)	(7)	(26.9)	34	Large	
Other income less deductions and related									
federal income tax	23	Large	(5)	(55.6)	_	_	28	Large	
Net interest expense	(5)	(3.8)	(1)	(0.9)	_	_	(4)	(50.0)	
Income from continuing operations	42	16.1	(17)	(7.1)	(7)	(36.8)	66	Large	
Discontinued operations***	5	Large	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	Large	
Net income	\$ 47	18.4%	\$ (17)	(7.2%)	\$ (7)	(36.8)%	\$ 71	Large	

Represents the consolidated financial results of Con Edison and its businesses.

Includes inter-company and parent company accounting. See Note N to the First Quarter Financial Statements.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (COMBINED FOR CON EDISON AND CON EDISON OF NEW YORK) — CONTINUED

Con Edison of New York

Electric

Con Edison of New York's electric sales and deliveries, excluding off-system sales, for the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period were:

				Revenues in Millions							
	Three Moi	nths Ended			Th	ree Moi	nths Ei	nded			
	March 31,	March 31,		Percent	Marc	ch 31,	Mar	rch 31,			Percent
Description	2008	2007	Variation	Variation	20	800	2	007	Var	iation	Variation
Residential/Religious	2,763	2,877	(114)	(4.0)%	\$	629	\$	581	\$	48	8.3%
Commercial/Industrial	3,064	3,115	(51)	(1.6)		608		547		61	11.2
Retail access customers	5,208	5,033	175	3.5		248		279		(31)	(11.1)
NYPA, Municipal Agency and other sales	3,035	2,924	111	3.8		79		69		10	14.5
Other operating revenues	_	_	_			151		167		(16)	(9.6)
Total	14,070	13,949	121	0.9%	\$ 1	,715	\$ 1	1,643	\$	72	4.4%

Con Edison of New York's electric operating revenues increased \$72 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period due primarily to the increase in recoverable purchased power cost (\$60 million), the third year of the 2005 electric rate plan (\$20 million, which includes \$7 million of Net T&D Revenues), the recognition of the gain on the sale of properties (\$9 million) and sales growth (\$5 million), offset in part by a decrease in transmission congestion auction proceeds (\$5 million), lower recoverable fuel costs (\$5 million) and the impact of the weather in the first three months of 2008 (\$4 million). Other electric operating revenues generally reflect changes in regulatory assets and liabilities in accordance with the company's rate plans. See Note B to the First Quarter Financial Statements.

Electric delivery volumes in Con Edison of New York's service area increased 0.9 percent in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period due primarily to sales growth. After adjusting for variations, principally weather and billing days, electric delivery volumes in Con Edison of New York's service area increased 1.7 percent in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period.

Con Edison of New York's electric purchased power costs increased \$60 million in the first three months of 2008 compared with the 2007 period due primarily to an increase in unit costs (\$50 million) and higher purchased volumes (\$10 million). Electric fuel costs decreased \$5 million in the first three months of 2008 compared with the 2007 period reflecting lower sendout volumes from the company's generating facilities (\$7 million), offset by an increase in unit costs (\$2 million).

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (COMBINED FOR CON EDISON AND CON EDISON OF NEW YORK) — CONTINUED

Con Edison of New York's electric operating income decreased \$10 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period. The decrease reflects higher operations and maintenance costs (\$23 million, due primarily to a reserve associated with the Queens power outage), taxes other than income taxes (\$14 million principally property taxes) and depreciation (\$8 million), partially offset by higher net revenues (\$17 million) and lower income taxes (\$18 million).

Gas

Con Edison of New York's gas sales and deliveries, excluding off-system sales, in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period were:

	Thousands of dths Delivered					Revenues in Millions					
	Three Mo	nths Ended		_	7	hree Mor	nths En	nded			
	March 31,	March 31,		Percent		rch 31,		rch 31,			Percent
Description	2008	2007	Variation	Variation	2	2008		2007	Vari	ation	Variation
Residential	19,224	20,740	(1,516)	(7.3)%	\$	357	\$	378	\$	(21)	(5.6)%
General	12,115	13,194	(1,079)	(8.2)		190		210		(20)	(9.5)
Firm transportation	17,927	15,343	2,584	16.8		80		63		17	27.0
Total firm sales and transportation	49,266	49,277	(11)	_		627		651		(24)	(3.7)
Interruptible sales	4,842	3,282	1,560	47.5		63		41		22	53.7
NYPA	9,995	8,150	1,845	22.6		1		1		_	_
Generation plants	10,747	11,859	(1,112)	(9.4)		15		12		3	25.0
Other	6,661	3,370	3,291	97.7		9		2		7	Large
Other operating revenues	_			_		26		28		(2)	(7.1)
Total	81,511	75,938	5,573	7.3%	\$	741	\$	735	\$	6	0.8%

Con Edison of New York's gas operating revenues increased \$6 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period due primarily to the gas rate plans (\$12 million) and sales growth (\$9 million) offset, in part, by excess earnings adjustment (\$10 million) and lower recoverable purchased gas costs (\$5 million). Con Edison of New York's revenues from gas sales are subject to a weather normalization clause that moderates, but does not eliminate, the effect of weather-related changes on net income. Other gas operating revenues generally reflect changes in regulatory assets and liabilities in accordance with the company's rate plans. See Note B to the First Quarter Financial Statements.

Con Edison of New York's sales and transportation volumes for firm customers were approximately the same in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period. After adjusting for variations, principally weather and billing days, firm gas sales and transportation volumes in the company's service area increased 2.9 percent in the three months ended March 31, 2008.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (COMBINED FOR CON EDISON AND CON EDISON OF NEW YORK) — CONTINUED

Con Edison of New York's purchased gas costs decreased \$5 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period due to lower sendout volumes (\$74 million), offset by higher unit costs (\$68 million).

Con Edison of New York's gas operating income increased \$3 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period. The increase reflects primarily higher net revenues (\$11 million), offset by higher operations and maintenance expense (\$4 million) and taxes other than income taxes (\$4 million, principally property taxes).

Steam

Con Edison of New York's steam sales and deliveries in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period were:

		Millions of Pounds Delivered					Revenues in Millions					
	Three Mo	Three Months Ended			T	hree Mo	nths En	ded				
Description	March 31, 2008	March 31, 2007	Variation	Percent Variation		ch 31, 008		rch 31, 1007	Vari	iation	Percent Variation	
General	307	349	(42)	(12.0)%	\$	11	\$	12	\$	(1)	(8.3)%	
Apartment house	2,859	3,138	(279)	(8.9)		83		87		(4)	(4.6)	
Annual power	5,661	6,199	(538)	(8.7)		179		189		(10)	(5.3)	
Other operating revenues	_	_	_	_		12		7		5	71.4	
Total	8,827	9,686	(859)	(8.9)%	\$	285	\$	295	\$	(10)	(3.4)%	

Con Edison of New York's steam operating revenues decreased \$10 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period due primarily to the milder winter weather in the first three months of 2008 (\$6 million). Other steam operating revenues generally reflect changes in regulatory assets and liabilities in accordance with the company's rate plans. See Note B to the First Quarter Financial Statements.

Steam sales and delivery volumes decreased 8.9 percent in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period, reflecting primarily the impact of weather. After adjusting for variations, principally weather and billing days, steam sales and deliveries decreased 1.3 percent in the first three months of 2008.

Con Edison of New York's steam purchased power costs increased \$5 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period due primarily to higher unit costs (\$6 million) offset by lower purchased volumes (\$1 million). Steam fuel costs decreased \$9 million in the first three

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (COMBINED FOR CON EDISON AND CON EDISON OF NEW YORK) — CONTINUED

months of 2008 compared with the 2007 period due primarily to lower sendout volumes (\$11 million) offset by higher unit costs (\$2 million).

Steam operating income decreased \$6 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period. The decrease reflects primarily lower net revenues (\$6 million), higher operations and maintenance expense (\$3 million), taxes other than income taxes (\$2 million, principally property taxes) and depreciation (\$1 million), offset in part by lower income tax (\$5 million).

Taxes, Other Than Income Tax

Taxes, other than income tax increased \$20 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period due primarily to higher property taxes.

Income Taxes

Operating income taxes decreased \$24 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period due primarily to lower operating income.

Other Income (Deductions)

Other income (deductions) decreased \$5 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period due primarily to lower income from the Company's supplemental retirement program trust (\$2 million) and lower interest on the World Trade Center deferral (\$2 million).

O&R

Electric

O&R's electric sales and deliveries, excluding off-system sales, in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period were:

_	Millions of kWhs Delivered						R	evenues i	venues in Millions				
	Three Months Ended			7	Three Moi	nths Er	nded						
	March 31,	March 31,		Percent	Ма	rch 31,	Mai	rch 31,			Percent		
Description	2008	2007	Variation	Variation	2	2008	2	2007	Vari	ation	Variation		
Residential/Religious	435	439	(4)	(0.9)%	\$	70	\$	61	\$	9	14.8%		
Commercial/Industrial	491	532	(41)	(7.7)		67		62		5	8.1		
Retail access customers	421	377	44	11.7		16		15		1	6.7		
Public authorities	28	29	(1)	(3.4)		4		4		_			
Other operating revenues	_		_			1		2		(1)	50.0		
Total	1,375	1,377	(2)	(0.2)%	\$	158	\$	144	\$	14	9.7%		

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (COMBINED FOR CON EDISON AND CON EDISON OF NEW YORK) — CONTINUED

O&R's electric operating revenues increased \$14 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period due primarily to increased recoverable purchased power costs (\$12 million). Other electric operating revenues generally reflect changes in regulatory assets and liabilities in accordance with the company's electric rate plan. See Note B to the First Quarter Financial Statements.

Electric delivery volumes in O&R's service area decreased 0.2 percent in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period. After adjusting for weather variations and unbilled volumes, electric delivery volumes in O&R's service area increased 1.1 percent in the first three months of 2008 compared with the 2007 period.

Electric operating income decreased by \$4 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period. The decrease reflects higher operations and maintenance expense including the amortization of deferred pension and other post retirement benefit costs in accordance with the 2007 electric rate plan (\$8 million), offset in part by lower income taxes (\$3 million) and higher net revenues (\$1 million).

Gas
O&R's gas sales and deliveries, excluding off-system sales, in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period were:

	Thousands of dths Delivered					Revenues in Millions						
	Three Moi	nths Ended			Thr	ее Мо	nths E	nded				
Description	March 31, 2008	March 31, 2007	Variation	Percent Variation	Marc 20	ch 31, 108		rch 31, 2007	Var	ation	Percent Variation	
Residential	3,857	4,245	(388)	(9.1)%	\$	62	\$	69	\$	(7)	(10.1)%	
General	889	997	(108)	(10.8)		14		16		(2)	(12.5)	
Firm transportation	4,626	4,644	(18)	(0.4)		18		16		2	12.5	
Total firm sales and transportation	9,372	9,886	(514)	(5.2)		94		101		(7)	(6.9)	
Interruptible sales	1,656	1,754	(98)	(5.6)		7		6		1	16.7	
Generation plants	96	298	(202)	(67.8)		1				1	Large	
Other	500	489	11	2.2		_		_		_	_	
Other gas revenues	_	_	_	_	•	3		6		(3)	50.0	
Total	11,624	12,427	(803)	(6.5)%	\$	105	\$	113	\$	(8)	(7.1)%	

O&R's gas operating revenues decreased \$8 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period due primarily to lower costs of gas purchased for resale in the first three months of 2008 (\$6 million).

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (COMBINED FOR CON EDISON AND CON EDISON OF NEW YORK) — CONTINUED

Sales and transportation volumes for firm customers decreased 5.2 percent in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period reflecting the impact of the weather in 2008. After adjusting for weather and other variations, total firm sales and transportation volumes were 0.9 percent higher in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period. O&R's revenues from gas sales are subject to a weather normalization clause that moderates, but does not eliminate, the effect of weather-related changes on net income.

Non-firm transportation of customer-owned gas to electric generating plants decreased in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period because certain facilities discontinued burning gas to generate electricity. The decrease in gas burned had minimal impact on earnings because most revenues from these customers result from a fixed demand charge for local transportation.

Gas operating income decreased by \$2 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period. The decrease reflects lower net revenues (\$2 million) and higher taxes other than income taxes (\$1 million, principally state and local taxes on revenues), offset in part by lower income taxes (\$1 million).

Operating Income Taxes

Operating income taxes for the three months ended March 31, 2008 decreased \$5 million compared to the 2007 period due primarily to lower operating income.

Competitive Energy Businesses

The competitive energy businesses' operating income and earnings from continuing operations increased \$32 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period due primarily to mark-to-market gains in the 2008 period versus mark-to-market losses in the 2007 period.

Operating revenues increased \$146 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period, due primarily to higher electric wholesale and retail revenues and mark-to-market. Electric wholesale revenues increased \$61 million, of which \$7 million was due to higher sales volume and \$54 million due to higher unit prices. Electric retail revenues increased \$24 million, of which \$6 million was due to higher sales volumes and \$18 million was due to an increase in unit prices. While electric retail revenues increased more than 8 percent from 2007 to 2008, gross margins decreased due to lower unit margins. Revenue from the sale of electricity from the competitive energy businesses' generation facilities increased \$2 million. Net mark-to-market gains increased \$64 million due primarily to higher prices on electric and natural gas contracts, which were economic hedges that

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (COMBINED FOR CON EDISON AND CON EDISON OF NEW YORK) — CONTINUED

supported retail obligations (but were not accounted for as cash flow hedges). Other revenues decreased \$5 million in 2008 as compared with 2007 due primarily to lower gas revenue.

Operating expenses including income taxes increased \$114 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period, reflecting increased purchased power (\$96 million), income taxes (\$23 million) and other operations and maintenance costs (\$6 million) offset in part by lower fuel costs (\$4 million), lower gas purchased for resale costs (\$4 million) and lower depreciation expense (\$3 million).

Other income (deductions) decreased \$1 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with the 2007 period due primarily to lower interest income.

Income taxes increased \$23 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008 as compared with the 2007 period, reflecting primarily higher operating income.

Discontinued Operations

Net income from discontinued operations was \$3 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared with a \$2 million loss in the 2007 period due to a lower mark-to-market loss in 2008.

Other

For Con Edison, "Other" includes the receipt of \$30 million after-tax for a litigation settlement with Northeast Utilities in the three months ended March 31, 2008. See Note H to the First Quarter Financial Statements. For Con Edison, "Other" also includes inter-company eliminations relating to operating revenues and operating expenses.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

For information about the Companies' primary market risks associated with activities in derivative financial instruments, other financial instruments and derivative commodity instruments, see "Financial and Commodity Market Risks" in Part 1, Item 2 of this report, which information is incorporated herein by reference. Also, see Item 7A of the Form 10-K.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Companies maintain disclosure controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed in the reports that they submit to the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC. For each of the Companies, its management, with the participation of its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, has evaluated its disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report and, based on such evaluation, has concluded that the controls and procedures are effective to provide such reasonable assurance. Reasonable assurance is not absolute assurance, however, and there can be no assurance that any design of controls or procedures would be effective under all potential future conditions, regardless of how remote.

There was no change in the Companies' internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Companies' most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Companies' internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 4T. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The information required for Con Edison of New York pursuant to this Item 4T has been included in Item 4 (which information is incorporated herein by reference).

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

CON EDISON

Northeast Utilities

For information about the settlement of legal proceedings relating to Con Edison's October 1999 agreement to acquire Northeast Utilities, see Note H to the financial statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this report (which information is incorporated herein by reference).

CON EDISON OF NEW YORK

Power Outage Proceedings

For information about proceedings relating to power outages in 2006, including the Queens outage Joint Proposal, which is subject to PSC approval, see "Power Outage Proceedings" in Note B to the financial statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this report (which is incorporated herein by reference).

Manhattan Steam Main Rupture

For information about proceedings relating to the July 2007 rupture of a steam main located in midtown Manhattan, see "Manhattan Steam Main Rupture" in Note H to the financial statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this report (which information is incorporated herein by reference.)

ITEM 1A RISK FACTORS

There were no material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in the Companies' Form 10-K.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Con Edison

Exhibit 12.1 Statement of computation of Con Edison's ratio of earnings to fixed charges for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2008 and

2007, and the 12-month period ended December 31, 2007.

Exhibit 31.1.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications—Chief Executive Officer. Exhibit 31.1.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications—Chief Financial Officer.

Exhibit 32.1.1 Section 1350 Certifications—Chief Executive Officer.
Exhibit 32.1.2 Section 1350 Certifications—Chief Financial Officer.

Con Edison of New York

Exhibit 4.2 By-laws of Con Edison of New York, effective May 19, 2008, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 3.2 to Con Edison of New

York's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated April 17, 2008 (File No. 1-1217).

Exhibit 12.2 Statement of computation of Con Edison of New York's ratio of earnings to fixed charges for the three-month periods ended

March 31, 2008 and 2007, and the 12-month period ended December 31, 2007.

Exhibit 31.2.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications—Chief Executive Officer. Exhibit 31.2.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications—Chief Financial Officer.

Exhibit 32.2.1 Section 1350 Certifications—Chief Executive Officer.

Exhibit 32.2.2 Section 1350 Certifications—Chief Financial Officer.

DATE: May 2, 2008

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, each Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Consolidated Edison, Inc.

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

By /s/ Robert Hoglund

Robert Hoglund Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Duly Authorized Officer

Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges (Millions of Dollars)

	Three Me	or the onths Ended 31, 2008	Twelve I	For the Months Ended ber 31, 2007	For the Three Months Endec March 31, 2007		
Earnings							
Net Income from Continuing Operations	\$	300	\$	925	\$	258	
Preferred Stock Dividend		3		11		3	
(Income) or Loss from Equity Investees		_		(7)		(1)	
Minority Interest Loss		_		_		_	
Income Tax		163		438		148	
Pre-Tax Income from Continuing Operations	\$	466	\$	1,367	\$	408	
Add: Fixed Charges*		139		567		143	
Subtract: Pre-Tax Preferred Stock Dividend							
Requirement		5		18		5	
Earnings	\$	600	\$	1,916	\$	546	
* Fixed Charges							
Interest on Long-term Debt	\$	109	\$	453	\$	114	
Amortization of Debt Discount, Premium and							
Expense		4		17		4	
Other Interest		16		57		15	
Interest Component of Rentals		5		22		5	
Pre-Tax Preferred Stock Dividend Requirement		5		18		5	
Fixed Charges	\$	139	\$	567	\$	143	
Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges	_	4.3		3.4	-	3.8	

CERTIFICATIONS

CON EDISON—Principal Executive Officer

- I, Kevin Burke, the principal executive officer of Consolidated Edison, Inc., certify that:
 - 1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2008 of Consolidated Edison, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e)) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 2, 2008

/s/ Kevin Burke

Kevin Burke

Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATIONS

CON EDISON—Principal Financial Officer

- I, Robert Hoglund, the principal financial officer of Consolidated Edison, Inc., certify that:
 - 1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2008 of Consolidated Edison, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 2, 2008

/s/ Robert Hoglund

Robert Hoglund Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Certification Required Under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, Kevin Burke, the Chief Executive Officer of Consolidated Edison, Inc. (the "Company") certify that the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2008, which this statement accompanies, (the "Form 10-Q") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m or 78o(d)) and that the information contained in the Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Kevin Burke

Kevin Burke

Dated: May 2, 2008

Certification Required Under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, Robert Hoglund, the Chief Financial Officer of Consolidated Edison, Inc. (the "Company") certify that the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2008, which this statement accompanies, (the "Form 10-Q") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m or 78o(d)) and that the information contained in the Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Robert Hoglund Robert Hoglund

Dated: May 2, 2008

Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges (Millions of Dollars)

	Three	For the Months Ended rch 31, 2008		For the elve Months Ended ecember 31, 2007		For the ree Months Ended March 31, 2007
Earnings						
Net Income for Common	\$	219	\$	844	\$	236
Preferred Stock Dividend		3		11		3
(Income) or Loss from Equity Investees				(2)		
Income Tax		111		392		134
Pre-Tax Income from Continuing Operations	\$	333	\$	1,245	\$	373
Add: Fixed Charges*		123		487		123
Subtract: Pre-Tax Preferred Stock Dividend						
Requirement		_		_		_
Earnings	\$	456	\$	1,732	\$	496
* Fixed Charges						
Interest on Long-term Debt	\$	101	\$	411	\$	100
Amortization of Debt Discount, Premium and						
Expense		4		17		4
Other Interest		13		39		14
Interest Component of Rentals		5		20		5
Pre-Tax Preferred Stock Dividend Requirement		_		_		
Fixed Charges	\$	123	\$	487	\$	123
Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges	_	3.7	_	3.6	_	4.0

CERTIFICATIONS

CON EDISON OF NEW YORK—Principal Executive Officer

- I, Kevin Burke, the principal executive officer of Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2008 of Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 2, 2008

/s/ Kevin Burke

Kevin Burke

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATIONS

CON EDISON OF NEW YORK—Principal Financial Officer

- I, Robert N. Hoglund, the principal financial officer of Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2008 of Consolidated Edison Company of New York. Inc.:
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e)) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 2, 2008

/s/ Robert Hoglund

Robert Hoglund Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Certification Required Under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, Kevin Burke, the Chief Executive Officer of Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (the "Company") certify that the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2008, which this statement accompanies, (the "Form 10-Q") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m or 78o(d)) and that the information contained in the Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Kevin Burke

Kevin Burke

Dated: May 2, 2008

Certification Required Under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, Robert N. Hoglund, the Chief Financial Officer of Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (the "Company") certify that the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2008, which this statement accompanies, (the "Form 10-Q") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m or 78o(d)) and that the information contained in the Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Robert Hoglund

Robert Hoglund

Dated: May 2, 2008